

A DIARY
Dated: 23.04.2025

1.	EP No. 3338 & 3339/CR Haji Essa Haji Moosa Sait Trust & Jan Muhammed Haji Eassa Sait Trust, Ernakulam Order not ready. Posted to 22.05.2025
2.	OP No. 40/2014 Mulavoor Central Mahallu Muslim Jama-ath, Ernakulam Order not ready. Posted to 22.05.2025
3.	OP No. 122/2023 Kuttilanji Methala Muhiyudeen Pally, Ernakulam <p>The main O.P is filed by the petitioners seeking to appoint the petitioners as Muthavalli of the Kuttilanji Methala Muhiyudheen Juma Masjid Waqf and entrust its management to the petitioners who are members belonging to six branches of the Thottathikulam family and legal heirs of the plaintiffs in O.S No. 403/1996 on the files of Munsiff Court, Muvattupuzha. The suit was filed for declaration, recovery of possession and injunction, which stand confirmed in A.S No. 87/2000 by the Sub Court, Muvattupuzha whereby it is declared that Thottathikulam family has the right to manage Kuttilanji Methala Muhiyudheen Juma Masjid Waqf and permitted recovery of its possession. While this matter was pending before the Board the order of the Munsiff Court, Muvattupuzha was executed on the basis of order passed in EP No. 23/2019 and the administration is handed over to the petitioner family through the process of court. In that circumstances, there is no point in continuing the present OP and hence OP stands closed on the basis of above reasoning.</p>
4.	OP No. 44/2023 Kuttilanji Methala Muhiyudeen Pally, Ernakulam <p>The main O.P is filed by the petitioners under Section 32, 70 and 71 of the Waqf Act seeking permission for demolishing and constructing the Masjid under the supervision and leadership of the Hon'ble Waqf Board , the</p>

	<p>interim Muthawally appointed by the Board and the members of the Jama-ath.</p> <p>While this matter was pending before the Board the Board the order in O.S No. 403/1996 on the files of Munsiff Court, Muvattupuzha was executed through the process of court on the basis of order passed in E.P.No. 23 of 2019 Court and the mutawalliship is handed over to the members of Thottathikulam family. In such circumstances the permission as sought for by the petitioners cannot be allowed. OP dismissed on the basis of the above finding.</p>
5.	OP NO. 182/2018 Al Jamiathul Kausariya Waqf, Ernakulam Order not ready. Posted to 22.05.2025
6.	OP No. 238/2023 Markkasudawathi Sunneeya, Thrissur Order not ready. Posted to 22.05.2025
7.	<p>EP No. 6868/2023/TSR Kollamkode Jama-ath Pally, Palakkad</p> <p>The above Enquiry Proceedings was initiated on the basis of a note submitted by the Chief Executive Officer before the Board with regard to the administrative dispute existing in Kollamkode Juma-ath Palli. The dispute was with regard to the membership to the general body and election from the general body. The Hon'ble High court of Kerala had passed an order in WP(C)No.6662/2024 dated 03-04-2024 directing the Board to dispose of the petition submitted before the Board which is the root cause of this E.P. within a period of 4 months from the date of order. While this matter was pending before the Board the persons who had submitted petition dated 05-01-2024 and 05-02-2024 which is the base of this enquiry proceedings, had submitted a memo stating that the dispute between the fractions is settled through mediation done in the presence of local leaders and hence, they are not intending to prosecute the matter further. In the light of said memo the E.P. stands closed.</p>
8.	OP No. 216/2024 Mundakkayam Varikkani Muslim Jama-ath,

	Kottayam Order not ready. Posted to 22.05.2025
9.	<p data-bbox="263 293 1433 338">OP No. 130/2021 Nusrathul Islam Madrassa committee, Ernakulam</p> <p data-bbox="263 376 1433 613">The main O.P. is filed by the petitioner under section 32, 63 and 64 of the Waqf Act, 1995 seeking to appoint a receiver for the management protection and preservation of properties of Nusrathul Islam Madrassa, to audit the accounts of the waqf, to appoint a mutawalli and for other related reliefs.</p> <p data-bbox="263 651 1433 1525">The petitioner who claimed to be the son of waqif had approached the Board raising severe allegation with regard to the administration of 1st respondent waqf. According to the petitioner the respondents are administering the waqf in an improper way which defeats the whole purpose for which the petitioners father had created the waqf. Hence, he approached the Board. While this matter was pending before the Board an order was passed by the Board directing the Junior Superintendent, Ernakulam to conduct an over all enquiry with regard to the administration of the waqf. As per the enquiry report it is seen that a bye-law or a scheme for the administration of the jama-ath was not available with the committee and it is reported that for the past several years no committee was created properly and there is no clarity with regard to the committee, number of members, term of the committee, quorum etc. In the enquiry report severe malpractices were pointed out and on the basis of that the committee was suspended and an interim mutawalli is appointed by the Board. At present the interim mutawalli is administering the waqf.</p> <p data-bbox="263 1563 1433 1756">The respondent committee had not submitted any counter and they were set ex-parte. Even though it is represented that the petitioner is no more, no steps were taken to implead any other person the matter is posted for orders.</p> <p data-bbox="263 1794 1433 2029">Even though the petitioner had not represented the Board cannot dismiss this petition blindly as the committee is suspended by the Board and the interim mutawalli appointed by the Board is administering the waqf. It is evident that the committee was not administer the waqf properly and had not carried out their duties as</p>

	<p>per the provisions of the Waqf Act. The committee had failed to maintain proper accounts and several malpractises were pointed out by the enquiry officers, also, the term of the committee is over long back. Hence the committee is liable to be removed. It is evident that there is no proper bye-law for administration of the waqf and hence, it is proper to frame a scheme for the administration of the waqf. Until a new committee is elected after framing a scheme the administration can be carried through the interim mutawalli. Interim Mutawalli is directed to submit a draft bye law for the administration of the waqf within 1 month from the date of this order which shall be finalized by the Board after following procedural formalities. Matter is disposed of as above.</p>
10.	<p>OP No. 174/2022 Muhiyudeen Juma Masjid, Kottayam</p> <p>The petitioners herein had filed this OP under Sections 32& 70 of the Waqf Act 1995 seeking to pass orders by directing an officer the Board to conduct an enquiry about need to change the Khatheeb and his indefinite continuance in the same post against Clause 24(7) of the bylaws, to direct the 1st respondent who is representing the committee in office not to extend the period of the 2nd respondent as against the letter and spirit of Clause 24(7) of the byelaws and to restraining the 2nd respondent from continuing in the post of Khatheeb of the 1st respondent Jama-ath indefinitely.</p> <p>According to the Petitioner he is the elected committee member of the 1st respondent which is a waqf under which several institutions are functioning. The petitioner submitted that Clause 24(7) of the byelaws provides only 3 years period for an employee of the Jama-ath to continue in a post. It is provided in the said Clause that the committee in office from time to time can either extend the period or reduce the period. The petitioner alleges that the 2nd respondent is working as Khatheeb of the 1st respondent jama-ath for the last more, than 32 years against the said provision without any basis and the tenure of the 2nd respondent has been indefinitely extended.</p> <p>Respondents filed joint counter denying all the allegations leveled in the O.P and submitted that the petitioner herein is not entitled under law to file and maintain the above OP against the respondent Jama-ath for the sole reason that he is an elected committee member of the respondent Jama-ath. The Division Bench of the Hon'ble High Court of Kerala W.P.(C) No. 38521/2022 by its Judgment dated 14.09.2023, specifically held that the petitioner herein <i>cannot blow, hot and cold in one breath, for, seek the vindication of the grievances had also served a legal notice and being a</i></p>

	<p><i>member of the committee and that for claiming such plea he has to surrender his membership in the committee. Accordingly the said writ petition was dismissed. The provisions of Clause 24(7) of the byelaw are misinterpreted and misunderstood by the petitioner for the purpose of his personal venegence against the respondents herein. The respondents further alleged that the petitioner is a person who is filing complaints before various authorities against the Jama-ath committee and all its office bearers and also against all the staffs in the Jama-ath, for not aiding him for all his misdeeds. All the members and beneficiaries in the Jama-ath are fully in support of the service of the 2nd respondent as the Khatheeb in the Jama-ath and he is fully fit and competent to continue as the Khatheeb of the 1st respondent of the Jama-ath. Most of the members of the Jama-ath are standing against the activities of the petitioner, who in turn filing unnecessary and frivolous petitions against the respondent Jama-ath.</i></p> <p>The Jama-ath Committee is the appointing authority of the staff of the Jama-ath and they can appoint and remove any of its staff without approval of the Board. The Board cannot interfere in the said activities of the Jama-ath Committee as no power is vested with the Board for the same. Hence OP stands dismissed on the basis of the above findings.</p>
11.	<p>OP No. 158/2022 Ilfathul Islam Sangham (Ponnurunni Jama-ath), Ernakulam Order not ready. Posted to 22.05.2025</p>
12.	<p>OP No. 252/2023 Meppara Jama-ath Pally, Palakkad On perusal of the report submitted by the Interim Muthawalli the Board finds that the report had not covered many of the allegations raised in OP and is silent with regard to the membership of the Petitioner. Hence interim muthawalli is directed to submit detailed report with regard to the allegations clearly especially with respect to the membership of the petitioner and implementation of the order of the Board in OP No. 146/2022.</p>
13.	<p>OP No. 126/2024 Vadakkekara Muslim Jama-ath, Ernakulam</p> <p>The main O.P. is filed against removal of the petitioner from the membership of Vadakkekara Muslim Jama-ath Bharanasamithi by the 2nd respondent. According to the petitioner he is a member of Vadakkekara Muslim Jama-ath Bharanasamithi since November, 2023 by copting to the vacancy of a resigned member. By the one sided approach by the respondent he was expelled from the position on 03-05-2024. The petitioner submitted that before taking the decision the petitioner was not afforded with an opportunity of hearing. He came to know about his expulsion through a communication issued to him by the 2nd respondent. He had produced the said complaint as an annexure. Vide an order in I.A.No.186/2024 the Board had stayed all further action pursuant to the</p>

	<p>communication .</p> <p>The respondents appeared and submitted counter stating that the term of the said committee from which the petitioner was removed is over and a new committee is elected which is administering the waqf. No person who was in the erstwhile committee is in the new committee.</p> <p>On 05/02/2025 when the matter came up before the Board there was no representation from the side of the petitioner as well as the respondents. As the petitioner failed to appear before the Board it is to be reasonably presumed that he is not interested in prosecuting the matter further. The respondent submitted that the term of the committee is over and election is conducted. In such circumstances, the Board finds that the OP is not to be proceeded further. On the basis of the above findings the OP stands dismissed.</p>
14.	OP No. 204/2024 Karunagappally Muslim Jama-ath, Kollam Order not ready. Posted to 03.06.2025
15.	<p>OP No. 254/2024 Cheruthuruthy Juma Masjid and Madrassa Committee, Thrissur</p> <p>Petitioner claiming himself as the permanent resident as well as the beneficiary of the Cheruthuruthy Juma Masjid and Madrassa Committee had filed this petition against the Jama-ath and the interim mutawalli seeking to declare Exhibit P3 notice dated 7/12/24 issued by the 2nd respondent is null and void, to order for a census in the jama-ath for preparing a membership register and voters list, to appoint a new returning officer to conduct election through secret ballot, to restrain the 2nd respondent from sub delegating his authority to third parties and to remove 2nd respondent as interim mutawalli of the jama-ath and substitute him with an able and efficient person.</p> <p>The 2nd respondent Returning Officer had conducted election and the newly elected committee had taken charge which is evident from the report of the Returning Officer filed in OP NO.154/2023. In the light of the said report it is evident that the prayers in the main OP had become infructuous. On the basis of the above finding the OP stands closed as infructuous.</p>
16.	<p>AA No. 268/2024 K. N. M. Salafy Juma Masjid, Idukky</p> <p>Present appeal is filed by the Secretary, K. N. M. Salafy Juma Masjid, Idukky under section 72(7) of the Waqf Act, 1995 seeking to set aside the assessment of the order passed by the assessing authority for the assessment year 2022-2023 pass a fresh order in this regard. The appellant had remitted the 1/3rd of the disputed of</p>

	<p>amount for the year 2022-2023 as provide under the Kerala Waqf Rules.</p> <p>The averment in the appeal memorandum is that the failure of submission of accounts of the waqf is not willfull, that it occurred due to the non action on the part of erstwhile committee members and prayed for pardon for the non submission of accounts before Board. Hence, they approached this appellate authority seeking to set aside the order of assessment passed by the Assessing Authority and to exempt from remitting the amount so assessed.</p> <p>On verification of the office records, it is seen that Best Judgment Assessment was made as the committee administering the waqf failed to submit the accounts before the Board as contemplated under the Waqf Act and the waqf failed to remit the contribution amount due. Even though several notices directing to submit accounts were issued to the Jama-ath, it failed to submit accounts in time. In the above circumstances, the assessing authority has assessed the waqf on best judgment basis for the disputed period.</p> <p>On going through the above facts and circumstances, the Board is of the opinion that one more opportunity can be given to the appellant to prove the contentions raised in the appeal. The assessing authority is directed to re-assess the accounts of the waqf for the appeal period in accordance with the Act, Rules and Regulations, after considering the returns submitted along with the appeal memorandum. If the assessing authority is not satisfied with the accounts submitted along with this appeal, the authority is free to call for the supporting books and documents and conduct audit of accounts if found necessary.</p> <p>The present appeal is disposed of with the above direction.</p>
17.	<p>AA No. 270/2024 Muslim Young Mens Association, Kottayam</p> <p>Present appeal is filed by the President, The Muslim Young Men's Association under section 72(7) of the Waqf Act, 1995 seeking to set aside the assessment of the order passed by the assessing authority for the assessment year 2022-2023 pass a fresh order in this regard. The appellant had remitted the 1/3rd of the</p>

	<p>disputed of amount for the year 2022-2023 as provide under the Kerala Waqf Rules.</p> <p>The averment in the appeal memorandum is that the failure of submission of accounts of the waqf is not willfull, that it occurred due to the non action on the part of erstwhile committee members and prayed for pardon for the non submission of accounts before Board. Hence, they approached this appellate authority seeking to set aside the order of assessment passed by the Assessing Authority and to exempt from remitting the amount so assessed.</p> <p>On verification of the office records, it is seen that Best Judgment Assessment was made as the committee administering the waqf failed to submit the accounts before the Board as contemplated under the Waqf Act and the waqf failed to remit the contribution amount due. Even though several notices directing to submit accounts were issued to the Jama-ath, it failed to submit accounts in time. In the above circumstances, the assessing authority has assessed the waqf on best judgment basis for the disputed period.</p> <p>On going through the above facts and circumstances, the Board is of the opinion that one more opportunity can be given to the appellant to prove the contentions raised in the appeal. The assessing authority is directed to re-assess the accounts of the waqf for the appeal period in accordance with the Act, Rules and Regulations, after considering the returns submitted along with the appeal memorandum. If the assessing authority is not satisfied with the accounts submitted along with this appeal, the authority is free to call for the supporting books and documents and conduct audit of accounts if found necessary.</p> <p>The present appeal is disposed of with the above direction.</p>
18.	<p>AA No. 272/2024 907 Valiyullahi Makham Jaram, Thrissur</p> <p>Present appeal is filed by the Secretary, 907 Valiyullahi Makham Jaram, Thrissur under section 72(7) of the Waqf Act, 1995 seeking to set aside the assessment of the order passed by the assessing authority for the assessment year 2023-2024 pass a fresh</p>

	<p>order in this regard. The appellant had remitted the 1/3rd of the disputed of amount for the year 2023-2024 as provide under the Kerala Waqf Rules.</p> <p>The averment in the appeal memorandum is that prayed for the reassessment of the accounts submitted before the Board. Hence, they approached this appellate authority seeking to set aside the order of assessment passed by the Assessing Authority and to exempt from remitting the amount so assessed.</p> <p>On verification of the office records, it is seen that Best Judgment Assessment was made as the committee administering the waqf failed to submit the accounts before the Board as contemplated under the Waqf Act and the waqf failed to remit the contribution amount due. Even though several notices directing to submit accounts were issued to the Jama-ath, it failed to submit accounts in time. In the above circumstances, the assessing authority has assessed the waqf on best judgment basis for the disputed period.</p> <p>On going through the above facts and circumstances, the Board is of the opinion that one more opportunity can be given to the appellant to prove the contentions raised in the appeal. The assessing authority is directed to re-assess the accounts of the waqf for the appeal period in accordance with the Act, Rules and Regulations, after considering the returns submitted along with the appeal memorandum. If the assessing authority is not satisfied with the accounts submitted along with this appeal, the authority is free to call for the supporting books and documents and conduct audit of accounts if found necessary.</p> <p>The present appeal is disposed of with the above direction.</p>
19.	<p>AA No. 278/2024 Darul Islam Juma Masjid (Aisha Pally), Kottayam</p> <p>Present appeal is filed by the Secretary, Darul Islam Juma Masjid(Aisha Pally), Kottayam under section 72(7) of the Waqf Act, 1995 seeking to set aside the assessment of the order passed by the assessing authority for the assessment year 2022-2023 pass a</p>

	<p>fresh order in this regard. The appellant had remitted the 1/3rd of the disputed of amount for the year 2022-2023 as provide under the Kerala Waqf Rules.</p> <p>The averment in the appeal memorandum is that the failure of submission of accounts of the waqf is not willfull, that it occurred due to the non action on the part of erstwhile committee members and prayed for pardon for the non submission of accounts before Board. Hence, they approached this appellate authority seeking to set aside the order of assessment passed by the Assessing Authority and to exempt from remitting the amount so assessed.</p> <p>On verification of the office records, it is seen that Best Judgment Assessment was made as the committee administering the waqf failed to submit the accounts before the Board as contemplated under the Waqf Act and the waqf failed to remit the contribution amount due. Even though several notices directing to submit accounts were issued to the Jama-ath, it failed to submit accounts in time. In the above circumstances, the assessing authority has assessed the waqf on best judgment basis for the disputed period.</p> <p>On going through the above facts and circumstances, the Board is of the opinion that one more opportunity can be given to the appellant to prove the contentions raised in the appeal. The assessing authority is directed to re-assess the accounts of the waqf for the appeal period in accordance with the Act, Rules and Regulations, after considering the returns submitted along with the appeal memorandum. If the assessing authority is not satisfied with the accounts submitted along with this appeal, the authority is free to call for the supporting books and documents and conduct audit of accounts if found necessary.</p> <p>The present appeal is disposed of with the above direction.</p>
20.	<p>AA No. 280/2024 Randarkkara Muhiyudeen Pally, Ernakulam</p> <p>Present appeal is filed by the Secretary, Randarkkara Muhiyudheen Pally, Ernakulam under section 72(7) of the Waqf Act, 1995 seeking to set aside the assessment of the order passed by the assessing authority for the assessment year 2017-2018 to</p>

	<p>2019 to 2020 pass a fresh order in this regard. The appellant had remitted the 1/3rd of the disputed of amount for the year 2017-2018 to 2019 to 2020 as provide under the Kerala Waqf Rules.</p> <p>The averment in the appeal memorandum is that the failure of submission of accounts of the waqf is due to Covid -19 pandemic situation and prayed for pardon for the non submission of accounts before Board. Hence, they approached this appellate authority seeking to set aside the order of assessment passed by the Assessing Authority and to exempt from remitting the amount so assessed.</p> <p>On verification of the office records, it is seen that Best Judgment Assessment was made as the committee administering the waqf failed to submit the accounts before the Board as contemplated under the Waqf Act and the waqf failed to remit the contribution amount due. Even though several notices directing to submit accounts were issued to the Jama-ath, it failed to submit accounts in time. In the above circumstances, the assessing authority has assessed the waqf on best judgment basis for the disputed period.</p> <p>On going through the above facts and circumstances, the Board is of the opinion that one more opportunity can be given to the appellant to prove the contentions raised in the appeal. The assessing authority is directed to re-assess the accounts of the waqf for the appeal period in accordance with the Act, Rules and Regulations, after considering the returns submitted along with the appeal memorandum. If the assessing authority is not satisfied with the accounts submitted along with this appeal, the authority is free to call for the supporting books and documents and conduct audit of accounts if found necessary.</p> <p>The present appeal is disposed of with the above direction.</p>
21.	<p>AA No. 282/2024 Panavally Manappurath Jama-ath, Alappuzha</p> <p>Present appeal is filed by the Secretary, Panavally Manappurath Jama-ath Pally, Alappuzha under section 72(7) of the Waqf Act, 1995 seeking to set aside the assessment of the order passed by the assessing authority for the assessment year 2013-</p>

	<p>2014 to 2022-2023 pass a fresh order in this regard. The appellant had remitted the 1/3rd of the disputed of amount for the year 2013-2014 to 2022-2023 as provide under the Kerala Waqf Rules.</p> <p>The averment in the appeal memorandum is that the failure of submission of accounts of the waqf is not willfull, that it occurred due to the non action on the part of erstwhile committee members and prayed for pardon for the non submission of accounts before Board. Hence, they approached this appellate authority seeking to set aside the order of assessment passed by the Assessing Authority and to exempt from remitting the amount so assessed.</p> <p>On verification of the office records, it is seen that Best Judgment Assessment was made as the committee administering the waqf failed to submit the accounts before the Board as contemplated under the Waqf Act and the waqf failed to remit the contribution amount due. Even though several notices directing to submit accounts were issued to the Jama-ath, it failed to submit accounts in time. In the above circumstances, the assessing authority has assessed the waqf on best judgment basis for the disputed period.</p> <p>On going through the above facts and circumstances, the Board is of the opinion that one more opportunity can be given to the appellant to prove the contentions raised in the appeal. The assessing authority is directed to re-assess the accounts of the waqf for the appeal period in accordance with the Act, Rules and Regulations, after considering the returns submitted along with the appeal memorandum. If the assessing authority is not satisfied with the accounts submitted along with this appeal, the authority is free to call for the supporting books and documents and conduct audit of accounts if found necessary.</p> <p>The present appeal is disposed of with the above direction.</p>
22.	<p>OP No. 212/2023 Anwar Masjid, Thrissur</p> <p>The main O.P is filed by the petitioner under Section 32, 67&70 of the Waqf Act, 1995 seeking to restrain the respondents and their men from interfering the peaceful</p>

	<p>possession and enjoyment of the waqf property and for other related reliefs. The petitioner submitted that he is the elected secretary of the said masjid run by Kerala Naduvathul Mujahideen. The tenure of the committee is valid up to 2024. The committee is the owner of property having an extent 9 cents comprised in Re-survey No. 11/1 of Kaipamangalam Village. While the petitioner is in possession and enjoyment of the waqf peacefully and uninterruptedly, the respondents and their men tried to interfere the waqf and the committee. Further the 1st respondent tried to catch the mike after juma prayer and trying to make announcements for and on behalf of Wisdom group which is not legally permissible. Immediately, the petitioner submitted a complaint before the 5th respondent SHO, Kaipamangalam.</p> <p>Respondents appeared through counsel and had not submitted counter and in the later stage the counsel had endorsed that he is not having any instruction. On 16.12.2024 petitioners had filed proof affidavit in tune with the contentions in the petition and Exhibit P1 to P9 were marked. From the averments in the petition as well as in the proof affidavit and the documents submitted by the petitioner the Board finds that the contentions of the petitioner is true and the petitioners are entitled for the order sought for by them. The respondent had not cared to produce any scrap of paper to prove the contentions of the petitioner are not true. Hence OP stands allowed as prayed for.</p>
23.	OP No. 60/2024 Hyderiyya Masjid Mahallu Committee, Palakkad Order not ready. Posted to 22.05.2025
24.	OP No. 64/2024 Hyderiyya Masjid Mahallu Committee, Palakkad Order not ready. Posted to 22.05.2025
25.	OP No. 192/2023 Ilfathul Islam Sangham(Ponnurunni Jama-ath), Ernakulam Order not ready. Posted to 22.05.2025
26.	IA No. 238/2024 in OP No. 246/2023 Kadooppadam Muslim Jama-ath, Ernakulam O.P. 246/23 was filed by the petitioners under section 32, 45, 70 and 71 of the Waqf Act, 1995 seeking to audit the accounts of the jama-ath for the period 2018 — 2023 and to take action against responsible persons if it is found that waqf fund is illegally

utilized or lost, to appoint a returning officer for conducting election to the jama-ath committee and for other related reliefs.

On 13-12-2023, the Board had passed an order in I.A.269/2023 directing the office to audit the accounts of the jama-ath for the period 2019to -2023. On the very same day another interim order was passed by the order in I.A.268/2023 directing the respondent committee to conduct election only after preparing a proper voters list as per the bye-law of the jama - ath and to place the accounts before the general body for approval on 17-12-2023. As there was a dispute with regard to the election of the committee the Board appointed Adv.P.A. Abdul Majeed as Returning Officer for conducting election to the Jama-ath Committee through secret ballot system.

As per the direction from the Board, Sri. K.A. Abdul Azeez was appointed to audit the accounts of the Jama ath and he had submitted the audit report before the Board. The present IA 239/24 is filed by the petitioners alleging that the audit report is not trust worthy and is not prepared after proper scrutiny and verification of the statement of accounts and documents and is meant to aid and favour the respondents. Hence, they had approached the board seeking to appoint a competent auditor to re-audit the accounts of the waqf and for directing the respondents to handover all the records of the jama ath to the

	<p>auditor so appointed. On 15.01.25 an interim order was passed by the board in this IA directing the office to call for explanation from the auditor and to submit the same before the Board . Accordingly, explanation was called for from Sri. K.A. Abdul Azeez and he had submitted the same before the Board. The Auditor has stated that no complaint as alleged is came to his notice during the time of auditing and that accounts and records of the waqf has been kept properly and that he had conducted the audit after checking the day book, ledger, voucher, receipts ,minutes books etc and although there is difference in the accounts submitted to the Board by the waqf authorities ,he had cross checked the same in the audit and had prepared the report enabling the Board to assess around Rs.65000/- as contribution from the waqf .</p> <p>On the basis of the report from the auditor the Board finds that there is no need to re-audit the accounts of the Jama-ath on the basis of mere allegations raised by any of its members without any proof. On the other hand the auditor had clearly reported the facts regarding the accounts. On the basis of the above findings the I.A. stands dismissed.</p>
27.	<p>EP No. A9-3258/2024/TSR Thiruvatra Ma hadul Thazkiyathil Islamiyya, Thrissur Adv. Amina Beevi party No. 2. Party No. 3 appeared in person. Party No. 4 is no more. For order of the tribunal and hearing. Posted to 12.06.2025</p>

28.	OP No. 132/2024 Kayaradi Muslim Jama-ath, Palakkad IA No. 194/2024 already pronounced. For steps. Posted to 12.06.2025
29.	OP No. 38/2023 Karukaputhoor Mahallu Jama-ath Committee, Palakkad No additional counter. For report returning officer. Posted to 12.06.2025
30.	OP No. 166/2015 Himayathul Muslimeen Trust, Ernakulam Heard. For orders. Posted to 12.06.2025
31.	EP No. 867/2016 Aluva Muslim Jama-ath, Ernakulam For chief affidavit. Posted to 12.06.2025
32.	OP No. 14/2016 Saithoona Islamic Trust, Thrissur High court order not produced. Put up order by office. Call on. Posted to 12.06.2025
33.	OP No. 106/2017 Amaravathy Muslim Jama-ath, Ernakulam For chief affidavit by the respondents with connected case. Posted to 12.06.2025
34.	OP No. 60/2017 Haji Usman Haji Allarakhiya and Ayoob Haji Abdul Rahiman Trust, Ernakulam Petitioner is no more. Take steps. Posted to 12.06.2025
35.	OP No. 48/2018 Town Juma Masjid, Thrissur For counter in IA No. 401/2024, 402/2024, 403/2024. Posted to 12.06.2025
36.	OP No. 136/2019 Ettumanoor Athirampuzha Muslim Jama-ath, Kottayam For commission report. Posted to 12.06.2025
37.	OP No. 112/2019 Chettiyangadi Hanafi Sunnath Jama-ath, Thrissur For report of the Returning officer. Posted to 12.06.2025
38.	OP No. 48/2020 Nibrasul Islam Sangham, Palakkad No steps. For filing chief affidavit. Posted to 12.06.2025
39.	OP No. 66/2020 Ifathul Islam Sangham (Ponnurunni Jama-ath), Ernakulam connected OP No. 50/2020. For proof affidavit. Posted to 12.06.2025
40.	OP No. 50/2020 Ifathul Islam Sangham (Ponnurunni Jama-ath), Ernakulam For proof affidavit of the petitioner with connected OP No.

	66/2020. Posted to 12.06.2025
41.	OP No. 16/2020 Kuriathole Muslim Jama-ath, Ernakulam Proof affidavit filed by the respondents. Adv. Isham is appointed as commissioner to record evidence. Pay batta of Rs. 2500/- per day per witness. For commission report. Posted to 12.06.2025
42.	OP No. 96/2022 Vadanappally North Mahallu Muslim Jama-ath Sangham, Thrissur For report of the returning officer. Issue intimation to the Returning officer. Posted to 12.06.2025
43.	EP No. 3101/2022/TSR Kayaradi Mahallu Jama-ath, Palakkad For commission report. Posted to 12.06.2025
44.	OP No. 06/2022 Pengattusserry Muslim Jama-ath, Ernakulam For objection to audit report for petitioner. No objection for respondent. Posted to 12.06.2025
45.	OP No. 188/2022 Kakkattiri Juma Masjid, Palakkad No steps. For filing chief affidavit. Posted to 12.06.2025
46.	OP No. 104/2022 Pathiyasserry Muhiyudheen Juma Masjid Mahallu Committee, Thrissur No oral evidence of the respondent. Heard. For orders. Posted to 12.06.2025
47.	OP No. 98/2022 Paimattom Muslim Jama-ath, Ernakulam For proof affidavit by the petitioner. Posted to 12.06.2025
48.	OP No. 50/2023 Ponkunnam Muhiyudheen Pally Muslim Jama-ath, Kottayam No counter by supplementary respondents. For preliminary hearing. Posted to 22.05.2025
49.	OP No. 32/2023 Thottumugham Padinjare Pally Muslim Jama-ath, Ernakulam IA No. 32/2025 auditing allowed. Divisional officer, Ernakulam is directed to appoint an auditor to audit for the period of 6 years. IA No. 139/2025 reopening peititon. No counter allowed. IA No. 33/2025 to record chief affidavit. Allowed. Chief affidavit filed. Adv. Praveena is appointed as advocate commissioner to record evidence. Pay batta of Rs. 2500/- per day per witness. For commission report. Posted to 12.06.2025
50.	OP No. 168/2023 Puvathoor Jama-ath Muhiyudheen Masjid Committee, Ernakulam

	No objection to audit report and steps. Posted to 12.06.2025
51.	OP No. 150/2023 Puthanchira Padinjare Muslim Jama-ath, Thrissur Adv. Commissioner is not cooperating to record evidence. Hence she is removed. New Adv. Mohammed Haroon is appointed as commissioner. Pay batta of Rs. 2500/- per day per witness. For commission report. Posted to 12.06.2025
52.	OP No. 222/2023 Ettumanoor Athirampuzha Muslim Jama-ath, Kottayam For counter in OP. Posted to 12.06.2025
53.	OP No. 34/2023 Amaravathi Muslim Jama-ath, Ernakulam submit membership register and bye law by the respondent directly. For report the returning officer. Posted to 12.06.2025
54.	OP No. 256/2023 Ponnad Mahal Muslim Jama-ath Perumthuruth Hidayathul Islam Sangham, Alappuzha Await audit report. Issue intimation to the auditor. Posted to 12.06.2025
55.	OP No. 158/2023 Ponkunnam Muhiyudheen Muslim Jama-ath, Kottayam with connected OP. Posted to 12.06.2025
56.	OP No. 228/2023 Masjidu Swahaba Mahallu Committee, Palakkad Objection to audit report. Call on 12.06.2025
57.	OP No. 114/2023 Pengattusserry Muslim Jama-ath, Ernakulam For commission report. Posted to 12.06.2025
58.	OP No. 230/2023 Pengattusserry Muslim Jama-ath, Ernakulam For commission report. Posted to 12.06.2025
59.	OP No. 148/2023 Puthoor Pally Muslim Jama-ath, Kottayam Tribunal order not produced. Prosecution steps will be taken up in the administrative side. OP Closed.
60.	OP No. 246/2024 Cheraman Juma Masjid Mahallu committee, Thrissur Adv. Jabbar filed vakalath. For counter. Posted to 22.05.2025
61.	OP No. 134/2024 Majidul Ijaba Muslim Jama-ath, Alappuzha For counter of R2. Posted to 12.06.2025
62.	OP No. 148/2024 Masjidul Hidayah Muslim Jama-ath, Alappuzha For counter and hearing. Posted to 12.06.2025
63.	OP No. 150/2024 Karattupally Muslim Jama-ath Committee, Ernakulam

	For counter in OP. Posted to 12.06.2025
64.	OP No. 170/2024 Kayamkulam Muslim Jama-ath, Alappuzha For steps finally. Posted to 12.06.2025
65.	OP No. 188/2024 Alapanangad Sahib Juma Masjid, Thrissur R2 filed counter. For counter of R1. Posted to 12.06.2025
66.	OP No. 198/2024 Vadakkekkad Muslim Jama-ath Committee, Thrissur For counter in IA No. 411/2024 and OP. Posted to 22.05.2025
67.	OP No. 202/2024 Thekkanaryanad Mahall and Munavvirul Islam Sangham, Alappuzha For counter in IA No. 34/2025, IA No. 330/2025 already ordered and counter in OP. Posted to 12.06.2025
68.	OP No. 206/2024 Pengattusserry Muslim Jama-ath, Ernakulam Counter filed. For hearing. Posted to 12.06.2025
69.	OP No. 208/2024 Vellikulangara Muhiyudeen Town Juma Masjid, Thrissur IA No. 137/2025 and IA No. 138/2025 counter in OP not file. No representation by the respondent. Name called. Set exparte. Order in IA No. 137/2025 allowed. Posted to 12.06.2025 IA No. 137/2025 Heard. The petitioner had made out a prima facie case. The respondents are directed to permit the children of the 2 nd petitioner to study in the madrassa attached to the mosque. The respondents shall not do any act which curtails the religious and human rights of the petitioners as well as other members of the Jama-ath.
70.	OP No. 92/2024 Kalvathy Muslim Jama-ath, Ernakulam For commission report. Posted to 12.06.2025
71.	OP No. 222/2024 Punnayoor Jama-ath Committee, Thrissur Report of observer filed. No audit fee paid. Payment of audit fee. For audit report. IA No. 365/2024, 364/2024. For hearing. Posted to 22.05.2025
72.	OP No. 250/2024 Mannar Muslim Jama-ath, Alappuzha For fresh vakkalath and counter. Posted to 12.06.2025
73.	OP No. 252/2024 Karattupally Muslim Jama-ath, Ernakulam IA No. 35/2025 for counter. For R1 and R2 Adv. Amina beevi filed vallakath. Posted to 12.06.2025
74.	OP No. 256/2024 Ettumanoor Athirampuzha Muslim Jama-ath,

	Kottayam For counter. Posted to 12.06.2025
75.	OP No. 34/2021 Alappuzha Thekke Mahallu Muslim Jama-ath, Alappuzha Order not complied. No instruction reported. OP Dismissed.
76.	OP No. 04/2025 Kochi Thykkavu Pally, Ernakulam No representation by the counsel or party. Matter of recovery referred to the CEO.
77.	EP No. 18/2023 Manhajul Islam Madrassa, Alappuzha Adv. Jaleel filed vakkalath for 2 and 5. Parties 1 and 4 appeared in person. Party No. 3 not appeared. For statement. Posted to 12.06.2025
78.	OP No. 34/2022 Kochi Thaikkavu Pally, Ernakulam For commission report. Posted to 12.06.2025
79.	OP No. 22/2025 Kollakkadav Muslim Jama-ath, Alappuzha Petitioner not proceed. OP closed.
80.	OP No. 62/2022 Amayoor Juma Masjid, Palakkad For Returning officer report. Issue reminder. Posted to 12.06.2025
81.	OP No. 218/2023 Muhiyudheen Juma Masjid, Madiyoor, Ernakulam For steps. Posted to 12.06.2025
82.	OP No. 44/2025 Puthoor Pally Muslim Jama-ath, Kottayam Election is over. Petitioner represented no instruction. OP closed.
83.	OP No. 48/2025 Chandanakkunnu Juma Masjid, Ernakulam R1 and R2 Adv. Sidique appeared. No representation by the counsel appearing for the petitioner. OP Dismissed.
84.	OP No. 42/2025 Kaipparamb Jama-ath Committee, Thrissur Adv. Iqbal M. Mohammed filed vakkalath for all respondents. Counter filed along with documents. Parties shall appear for mediation on 22.05.2025
85.	OP No. 26/2025 Kuzhikkattumoola Mahallu Muslim Jama-ath, Ernakulam Adv. Sajal Ebrahim appeared in person. For counter in OP. IA No. 50/2025. Posted to 12.06.2025
86.	OP No. 116/2021 Kaitharam Muslim Jama-ath, Ernakulam Chief affidavit filed in OP No. 116/2024 and OP No. 98/2024. Adv. Muhammed Musthaq is appointed as advocate commissioner.

	Commission batta of Rs. 2500/- per day per witness. For commission report. Posted to 12.06.2025
87.	OP No. 136/2022 Marampally Muslim Jama-ath, Ernakulam No counter in IA No. 36/2025 for R1. No counter in IA No. 413/2024. Call on 12.06.2025
88.	OP No. 78/2024 Thayikkattukara Muslim Jama-ath, Ernakulam Counter in IA No. 91/2024 filed. Counter in IA No. 319/2024 filed. For counter in IA No. 92/2024 and hearing. Posted to 12.06.2025
89.	EP No. 4645/CR Putharippadam Mayyathankara Jama-ath, Palakkad Call on 22.05.2025
90.	OP No. 98/2024 Kaitharam Muslim Jama-ath, Ernakulam Joint trial with connected case OP No. 98/2024. Posted to 12.06.2025
91.	OP No. 68/2025 Kottol Mahallu Central Juma Masjid, Thrissur Adv. Sadique filed vakkalath for respondents except R5. For counter of IA No. 68/2025, 79/2025, 80/2025. Posted to 12.06.2025
92.	OP No. 96/2024 Kaithakkad Muslim Jama-ath, Ernakulam IA No. 133/2025 to appoint returning officer. No objection endorsed by the respondent. Heard. Allowed. Adv Ajmal appeared as Returning officer to conduct election as per bye law. IA No. 124/2024 stands vacated. Both parties conceded. Initial batta fo Rs. 25000/- shall be paid by the committee. Election process shall be completed within 3 months. Returning officer is directed to fix nomination fee as Rs. 2000/-. For returning officer report. Posted to 12.06.2025
93.	EP No. A9-1150/2021/KTM and EP No. 1293/2016 Vachakkal Valiya Veetil Pally Makham, Idukky Party No. 1 filed vakkalath by Adv. Muhammed Puzhakkara. For statement. Posted to 12.06.2025
94.	EP No. A5-6972/2024/EKM Alangad Muslim Jama-ath, Ernakulam Adv. Majeed filed vakkalath. For Party No. 1 and 4 filed statement. Party No. 3 filed statement. For hearing. Posted to 22.05.2025
95.	OP No. 102/2025 Kuttulanji Methala Muhiyudheen Pally, Ernakulam Adv. Rafeek filed vakkalath for respondents. For counter. Posted to

	22.05.2025
96.	OP No. 153/2023 Thajul Islam Jama-ath Committee, Kannur IA No. 177/2025 to appoint returning officer. For counter. Posted to 24.05.2025
97.	OP No. 231/2023 Thajul Islam Jama-ath Committee, Kannur Post along with the connected matter. OP No. 153/2024 at kannur sitting on 24.05.2025
98.	OP No. 23/2023 Muneerul Islam Madrassa, Kannur For report of the Commissioner. Issue intimation to the commissioner. Posted to 03.05.2025
99.	OP No. 112/2024 Chinnakkada Muslim Jama-ath, Kollam For counter as last chance. Posted to 22.05.2025
100.	OP No. 62/2025 Mannar Muslim Jama-ath, Alappuzha For counter in OP and IA No. 72/2025. Posted to 12.06.2025
101.	EP No. 6242/2018/TVM Chinnakkada Muslim Jama-ath, Kollam Heard for orders. Posted to 03.05.2025
102.	OP No. 1121/2025 Pallikkara Muslim Jama-ath, Ernakulam IA No. 31/2025 impleading petition. No objection allowed. Office is directed to carry out amendment. Posted to 03.05.2025
103.	OP No. 108/2025 Akalad Puthen Pally Mahallu Jama-ath Committee, Thrissur Adv. Rafeek for respondents. For counter. Posted to 12.06.2025
104.	OP No. 74/2025 Majiudrrahman Jama-ath, Thrissur Adv. Sivaramakrishnan propose vakkalath for the respondents. Adv. Jabbar for the petitioner. Posted to 12.06.2025
105.	OP No. 84/2025 (WOS No. 22/2024) Masjidu Rahman Jama-ath Committee, Thrissur Adv. Anas for the petitioner. Adv. Latheef for the respondent. Call on 12.06.2025
106.	OP No. 254/2023 Ettumanoor Athirampuzha Muslim Jama-ath, Kottayam IA No. 149/2025, IA No. 150/2025 notice given. For counter. Posted to 12.06.2025
107.	OP No. 70/2025 Puthupally Muslim Jama-ath Committee, Alappuzha Razi Recorded. Matter closed.
108.	OP No. 200/2023 Broadway Hanafy Jama-ath Masjid, Ernakulam

	Counter in OP. Posted to 12.06.2025
109.	OP No. 60/2023 Pallikkara Muslim Jama-ath, Ernakulam Entire documents submitted by the muthawalli and statement filed. Adv. Mohammed Shaffi is appointed as advocate commissioner to record evidence. Pay Rs. 2500/- per day per witness. For commission report. Posted to 12.06.2025
110.	EP No. 1529/2025/TVM Kattumurakkal Muslim Jama-ath, Thiruvananthapuram Issue notice to the committee through special messenger. Posted to 03.05.2025 at Calicut
111.	EP No.A3-3256/CR Broadway Hanafy Jama-ath, Ernakulam All parties appeared. The committee is directed to conduct its meeting every month as in the scheme. For report regarding meeting. Posted to 11.06.2025 at 5 PM
112.	EP No. A2-2192/2023 Marampally Muslim Jama-ath, Ernakulam Issue notice to the previous muthawalli Adv. Peer Mohammed Khan. Posted to 11.06.2025 at 05:00 PM
113.	OP No. 112/2022 Kilikolloor Siyarathummood Muslim Jama-ath, Kollam The main OP is filed by the petitioners for declaring that Exhibit P1 bye law 1957 is valid and binding bye law of administration and management of the first respondent jama-ath and Exhibit p3 bye law (1970) and Exhibit P4 bye law (2005) and for other related reliefs. While this matter is pending before the Board an order was passed by the Board in another OP bearing No. 32/2021 with regard to the very same waqf and in the said petition the Board had passed an order appointing Adv. P. E. Sajal as Returning Officer for conducting election. Earlier an IA was filed as IA No. 11/2023 seeking to appoint an interim mutawalli for the Waqf which was dismissed by the Board in the light of the order of appoint of Returning Officer . Now the present IA is filed for the appointment

of interim muthawali in the first respondent Jam-ath for the administration and management of the waqf till a properly constituted committee is being elected. In the affidavit supporting the said IA the petitioner had clearly elaborated the change of circumstances which compelled him to file the present IA for interim Muthawalli which is as follows, the tenure of the present committee has already been over in 2019. They are not ready and willing to cooperate with the RO to conduct election as per the order. The associate/ assistant of the learned returning officer was manhandled and humiliated by the respondents and their agents. There is police complaint as well in the issue. The returning officer has already filed a report in this regard. Which would show that there is a complete lawlessness in the jama-ath. Though the committee had promised to the board that they would serve all the documents within a period of seven days, so far, any document is served on the returning officer.

The returning officer has already scheduled election on 20 April 2025, and there would be no feasible situation to proceed with the election under the prevailing situation created by the respondents. There is a vacuum created in the first respondent Jama-ath due to the difference of opinion within the committee and with the members of the first respondent jama-ath. There is anarchy and vacuum in the affairs of the 1st Respondent jama-ath. They are attempting to use the wakf fund during the election process as well.

Respondent committee is proposing to exchange their position in the committee between them. The legitimate functioning in the Jama-ath is become stand still. The respondents are trying to continue in the post at any cost.

Now, the present committee can be continued as a caretaker committee. The committee was duty bound to assist the returning officer in discharging his legitimate functions. But they are reluctant to cooperate with the returning officer. They are also taking various decisions for the construction activities in the Jama-ath. They have already purchased 5 of property spending more than Rs. 18 lakhs, that to without having any concurrence of the general body or any permission from the Wakf Board. Further, the committee is also proposing to purchase another 7 cents of property spending Rs. 21 lakhs. Interestingly, the respondents are also decided to reconstruct a Madrassa building without the consent and concurrence of the general body and the Wakf board. It is learned that, the construction project is worth more than Rs. 10 lakhs. All these constructions have been made with malafide and crooked intentions.

The respondent appeared and submitted counter stating that as the Board had already dismissed the earlier application for the appointment of interim mutawalli and the petitioner had not challenged the order they are not having any right to file fresh application. The respondent submitted that they had informed the

Returning Officer and CEO about the reason for delay in handing over the voters list and they had filed OA NO. 18/2025 before the Waqf Tribunal Kozhikode challenging the order passed by Waqf Board in OP No. 32/2021. They had raised allegation against Returning Officer and submitted that they are always ready and willing to co-ordinate with the Returning Officer for conducting election . As directed by Board the Returning Officer had submitted an interim report in OP No. 32/2021 which was perused by the Board and from the report it is clearly seen that the respondents are not cooperating with the Returning Officer appointed by Board which is clearly a change of circumstance after dismissal of IA No. 11/2023 filed by the petitioners. It is also seen that the Assistant Returning Officer who was present in the Jama-ath as per direction of the Returning Officer for affixing the election notification was illegally detained for hours in the Jama-ath premises and his duty was obstructed. Subsequently the police interfered and the complaint of Assistant Returning Officer was accepted at Kilikolloor Police station as complaint No. 306/2025. All these are clearly change of circumstances which warrants interference of Board. There is no dispute that the tenure of the present committee is over and the committee is not cooperating with the Returning Officer appointed by the Board for conducting election. So also the right of the committee to act as muthawalli is under dispute before the Board by the members of the Jama-ath alleging that the term is over and they are not ready and willing to

cooperate with the election process eventhough they have affirm in the affidavit that they are ready to cooperate with the Returning Officer . In such circumstances, the Board finds this as a fit case for interference of Board under section 63 of the Waqf Act, 1995 by appointing and interim muthawalli for the Waqf until the election process is completed. Even though the committee had earlier submitted before the Board that they would serve all documents to the Returning Officer but they failed to do so and had failed to cooperate with the Returning Officer which resulted in the filing of complaint before the Police by the Assistant Returning Officer appointed by the Returning Officer . The members of the first respondent Jama-ath are disputing to continuance of the Jam-ath Committee which is not ready to conduct election even after lapse of its term. The petitioners are also raised severe allegation against the Jama-ath committee and thereby their continuation is clearly disputed.

In such circumstance and on the basis of the above reasons the Board hereby appoints Adv. Nazeer Hussain as Interim Muthawalli of Kilikolloor Siyarathmood Muslim Jama-ath, Kollam for a period of 3 months or until the completion of election whichever comes earlier. The Returning Officer appointed by the Board Adv. P. E. Sajal shall complete the election process within a period of 3 months from today after following all procedural formalities. The interim muthawalli shall take charge forthwith and

	<p>the committee shall hand over the entire documents to the interim muthawalli who shall assist the Returning Officer in preparing the voters list as per the bye law recognized by the Board in OP No. 32/2021. The interim muthawalli shall seek necessary police assistance if the committee fails to co-operate with him as per this order. The interim mutawalli shall be entitled for a monthly remuneration of Rs. 15000/- and he shall pay the remuneration of the Returning Officer and expenses and expenses for the conduct of election from Jama-ath fund as ordered in OP No. 32/2021. The Interim mutawalli shall manage the waqf as per the provisions of Waqf Act and shall submit periodic report before the Divisional Officer and shall seek clarifications from CEO with regard to the administration if necessary.</p>
114.	<p>OP No. 505/2025 Nusrathudheen Muslim Sangham, Wayanad Issue notice to the respondents. Posted to 18.06.2025</p>
115.	<p>IA No. 175/2025 in OP No. 269/2024 Kottakkal Muslim Juma-ath Masjid, Kozhikode Issue notice to the respondents. Posted to 03.05.2025</p>
116.	<p>OP No. 503/2025 East pathanapuram Cheriya Jama-ath Palli Mahall Jama-ath sangham, Malappuram Issue notice to the respondents. Posted to 18.06.2025 IA No. 173/2025 Heard. The petitioner had made out a prima facie case. The respondents are directed not to demolish, reconstruct the madrassa building bearing the Hayathul Islam Madrassa following the syllabus of Samastha Kerala Islam Mada Vidhayabhaya Board (Affiliation No. 632) is functioning until further orders from Board.</p>
117.	<p>OP No. 126/2025 Shariathul Islam Jama-ath Puthen Theruvu, Kollam Issue notice to the respondents. Posted 12.06.2025 IA No. 141/2025</p>

	<p>Heard. The petitioner had made out a prima facie case. Respondents are restrained from conducting election to the Jama-ath committee without prior permission of Board.</p>
118.	<p>OP No. 122/2025 Chilakkal Muslim Jama-ath, Kollam Issue notice to the respondents. Posted to 03.06.2025 IA No. 134/2025 Heard. The petitioner had made out a prima facie case. The respondents are directed not to interfere in the functioning of chilakkal Town juma Masjid in order to stop Juma Prayers in the said Jama-ath until further orders.</p>
119.	<p>OP No. 128/2025 Konthalappally Juma Masjid, Idukky Issue notice to the respondents. Posted to 12.06.2025</p>
120.	<p>OP No. 124/2025 Izzathul Islam Sangham, Palakkad Issue notice to the respondents. Posted to 12.06.2025 IA No. 136/2025 Heard. The petitioner had made out a facie case. The notice dated 05.04.2025 issued by the 1st respondent to the petitioner is stayed.</p>
121.	<p>OP No. 130/2025 Erumeli Mahallu Muslim Jama-ath, Kottayam Issue notice to the respondents. Posted to 12.06.2025</p>
122.	<p>IA No. 148/2025 in IA No. 241/2024 in OP No. 116/2023 Masjidunnoor Muslim Jama-ath, Vandiperiyar, Idukky Issue notice to the respondents. Posted to 12.06.2025</p>
123.	<p>IA No. 144, 145, 146, 147/2025 in OP No. 80/2024 Pazhaya Lakkidi Hidayathul Islam Jama-ath, Palakkad Issue notice to the respondent. Posted to 03.05.2025</p>
124.	<p>IA No. 125/2025 in IA No. 65/2023 in OP No. 56/2023 Kattumurakal Muslim Jama-ath, Thiruvananthapuram For counter. Posted to 28.05.2025</p>