

A Diary 24.09.2025

.....

	<u>Case No. –Name andProceedings</u>
1	OP 255/2024-Kozhikode Dist-Vadakara Taluk-Tha-Eleemul Islam Committee Order pronounced in IA 193/2025 & IA 194/2025 vide separate order sheet. Posted to 05.11.2025 IA 193/2025 and IA 194/2025 filed by the petitioner seek, respectively, to implead Narikkoli Hameed Haji as a supplemental 4th respondent in OP 255/2024 and to amend the petition to substitute the name of the deceased 2nd respondent with that of Narikkoli Hameed Haji. On consideration, it is seen that Narikkoli Hameed Haji can be made a party in his personal capacity to enable proper adjudication of the issues raised in the petition. Accordingly, IA 193/2025 and IA 194/2025 are allowed impleading arikkoli Hameed Haji as an additional respondent in his personal capacity only. The petitioner is directed to serve notice upon him in accordance with law. The office is directed to carryout necessary amendments in the petition within 15 days.
2	OP 3/2018-Kozhikode Dist-Kozhikode Taluk-KaramoolaJumuathPalli. Order not ready. For orders 22.10.2025
3	OP 223/2024-Kozhikode Dist-Vadakara Taluk-PerodeMisbahul Islam Madrassa Committee. Order pronounced in IA 219/2025, IA 440/2024 & IA 88/2025 vide separate order sheet. IA 532/2024 can be considered along with OP. Posted to 05.11.2025 IA 88/2025- The petitioners have filed this application seeking prosecution of the respondents for alleged violation of the Board's order dated 19.09.2024 directing audit of the accounts of the waqf. It is their case that even after receipt of the order, the respondents have not produced the accounts either before the auditor or before the Board. The respondents have filed counter stating that the application is not maintainable and that pursuant to the order in I.A. No.441/2024, they have already

deposited the required amount for auditing. It is further contended that the committee has not been informed by the Divisional Waqf Officer regarding the appointment of an auditor and that on receipt of such intimation, the necessary documents will be handed over for the audit.

On consideration, it is seen that the process of audit is already in motion pursuant to the earlier order of this Board, and no audit report has yet been received. In the absence of such report, the prayer for prosecuting the respondents at this stage is premature.

Accordingly, the application is dismissed as premature, reserving liberty to the petitioners to seek appropriate reliefs after completion of audit, if necessary.

IA 219/2025-

The petitioners have filed the present application seeking to record their objections to the report filed by the observer appointed by the Board in connection with the general body convened on 15.04.2025. The specific case of the petitioners is that no proper notice was issued to them as contemplated under the bye law and that the observer has omitted to report relevant facts before the Board.

The respondents have objected to the maintainability of this application contending that the relief sought is in the nature of setting aside the election and that the only remedy available to the petitioners is to file an election petition before the competent forum. However, a careful perusal of the application shows that the petitioners are not seeking to set aside the election in this proceeding, but only seek to record their objections against the observer's report so that the same may be considered along with the final adjudication of the original petition. Recording such objections will not, by itself, amount to interfering with or setting aside the election, but is only a procedural step to ensure that the pleadings of both parties are properly

	on record. In view of the above, the application is allowed. The objections filed by the petitioners shall be taken on record and form part of the proceedings in the original petition. I.A. No.219/2025 is accordingly allowed. IA 440/2024- The petitioners have filed the present application seeking an enquiry into the affairs of the respondent waqf and for a direction to the respondents to register all the properties of the waqf as 602/RA and 603/RA before the Board. The case of the petitioners is that they are permanent residing members and general body members of the mahal and that the respondent committee is administering the affairs of the waqf without submitting proper accounts and without convening the general body as per the bye law. It is also alleged that all the properties of the waqf are not registered before the Board. The respondents, despite service of notice, have not chosen to file any counter to the application. The allegations raised are serious in nature regarding the administration of the waqf and prima facie require examination by the Board to ensure proper compliance with the statutory requirements under the Waqf Act. In the circumstances, the application is allowed. The Divisional Waqf Officer, Kozhikode is directed to conduct an enquiry into the affairs of the above waqf, to verify and register all the properties of the waqf under Nos.602/RA and 603/RA, and to take further action in accordance with law. I.A. No.440/2024 is accordingly allowed.
4	OP 13/2025-Kasaragode Dist-Manjeswaram-Posot MuhiyudheenJuma Masjid Com mittee Order not ready. Posted to 28.10.2025
5	OP 249/2024-Kozhikode Dist-Koyilandi Taluk-Hayathul Islam Mahallu Committee. Order pronounced in IA 89/2025 & IA 90/2025 vide separate order sheet. Call on

	05.11.2025 IA 89/2025 filed by the petitioner seeks prosecution against the respondents for allegedly violating the order of the Board dated 23/01/2025 in IA 31/2025. On perusal of the materials on record, there appears to be a prima facie case for issuing a show-cause notice. Accordingly, the Divisional Waqf Officer, Kozhikode is directed to issue a show-cause notice to the respondents calling upon them to explain why prosecution proceedings should not be initiated for violation of the Board's order. IA 90/2025, seeking removal of the respondents from the post of Mutawalli, shall stand deferred and will be considered only after receipt and perusal of the reply to the above show-cause notice. The Divisional Waqf Officer shall, upon receiving the reply to the show cause notice, submit the same along with his report before the Board through this file for further consideration. Both applications are disposed of in the above terms. Main OP posted to 05.11.2025
6	OP 191/2022-Kozhikode Dist-Vadakara Taluk-ChombalKunhipalliParipalana Committee. Order pronounced in IA 148/2024, IA 206/2024 and IA 409/2023 vide separate order sheet. For order IA 408/2023. Call on 05.11.2025 <u>IA 148/2024</u> The petitioners have filed this application seeking to appoint an officer of the Board as finance manager of the above waqf. The case of the petitioners is that the auditor appointed by the Board had found discrepancies in the accounts of the respondent committee and therefore an officer of the Board is required to be appointed for managing the finances. The respondents have opposed the application contending that proper accounts are maintained, annuity is paid, and there is no provision under the Waqf Act, 1995 for appointing a finance manager for a waqf. On consideration, it is seen that the Waqf Act, 1995 does not vest any power with the Board to appoint a finance manager in a waqf. The statutory scheme provides for measures such as auditing of accounts, appointment of an Executive Officer in certain circumstances, and initiating action in case of mismanagement, but no provision exists for appointing a finance manager. In the absence of such statutory authority, the relief sought in this I.A. cannot be granted. Accordingly, I.A. No.148/2024 is dismissed. <u>IA 206/2024</u>

The petitioners have filed this application seeking to examine the auditor appointed by the Board who audited the accounts of the respondent committee for the period 2015–2023. The case of the petitioners is that the auditor has pointed out several discrepancies and omissions in the accounts and hence his examination is necessary. The respondents have opposed the application contending that the audit has already been completed, that only minor omissions were noted, and that there is no necessity to examine the auditor.

On consideration of the pleadings, it is seen that the audit report forms an important piece of evidence in this proceeding and the petitioners are entitled to examine the auditor to clarify the entries and observations in the report. Permitting such examination would assist this Board in arriving at a just and proper conclusion in the original petition.

Accordingly, the application is allowed. Advocate. Anas, Kozhikode, is appointed as Advocate Commissioner to record the examination of Sri. M. Muhammed Abdulla, the auditor. The Advocate Commissioner shall be entitled to a commission batta of Rs.2,500/- per day, which shall be paid by the petitioner. The auditor shall be entitled to a batta of Rs.2,000/- per day for attending and giving evidence, which shall also be paid by the petitioner.

I.A. No.206/2024 is thus allowed as above.

IA 409/2023

The petitioners have filed this application seeking to restrain the respondent committee from proceeding with the construction work in the waqf property without obtaining prior permission from the Waqf Board. It is alleged that the committee is utilising large income from the institutions under the waqf for construction without calling for tenders, without maintaining proper records, and without obtaining the statutory approval of the Board. The respondents have denied the allegations and contended that proper accounts are maintained, annuity is paid, and the Board was informed before commencing the work.

On consideration of the pleadings and materials, it is not disputed that prior sanction of the Waqf Board is mandatory before undertaking construction in waqf property. The respondents have not produced any valid permission order issued by the Board approving the construction. The auditor's report also indicates certain omissions in vouchers and bills which further require scrutiny. In the absence of

	proper sanction, the continuance of the construction cannot be permitted. Accordingly, I.A. No.409/2023 is allowed. The respondent committee is restrained from carrying out or continuing any construction in the waqf property without obtaining prior permission of the Waqf Board in accordance with law.
7	OP 263/2024-Kozhikode Dist-Kozhikode Taluk-Kuttikkattur Muslim Jamaath. For orders 05.11.2025
8	OP 305/2016 – Kozhikode Dist.– West Paadoor PHED Mahallu Muslim Jama-ath Committee Order pronounced vide separate order sheet. O.P. No.305/2016 was filed by the West Pazhoor PHED Mahallu Muslim Jama-ath Committee alleging that respondents 1 to 5 are in unauthorized occupation of Waqf properties created as per document No.2440/1996 of SRO, Chathamangalam. The prayer in the petition was for recovery and possession of the said property. While the matter was pending, this Board, vide order dated 24.09.2019, directed the Chief Executive Officer to conduct an enquiry under Rule 79 of the Kerala State Waqf Rules, 2019. The respondents entered appearance and denied the claim of the petitioner. It was their case that the petitioner committee does not possess 67 cents of land as claimed and that document No.2440/1996 relied upon by the petitioner is a fraudulent creation, unsupported by valid title. The respondents produced the report of the Kozhikode District Registrar General dated 20.07.2018 (No. INS 1 1733/2018), which found that Item No.31 of the anterior document No.1657/1956 (SRO, Chathamangalam) relates to “Ezhuthachan Madam Parambu,” having a total extent of only 8.25 cents. In contrast, the subsequent deed No.2440/1996 shows 67 cents, which is inconsistent with the anterior title deed. It was further reported that disciplinary action had been initiated against the document writer, and his license was suspended in view of the fraudulent execution of document No.2440/1996. The CEO, after examining the waqf deed, anterior documents, the order of the Registration Department (No. IGR/6284/2018 L1 dated 21.11.2018), tax receipts, and thandaper account, submitted a report before this Board. The CEO concluded that the actual property available to the petitioner is only 3.33 ares, equivalent to 8.25 cents, as shown in the anterior document and revenue records, and not 67 cents as claimed. During evidence, PW1 was examined through Advocate Commissioner, and in

	his deposition, he admitted that his claim was through document No.2440/1996 and the adangal produced. He further stated that tax was paid up to 2015 for 67 cents, but no receipts were produced to substantiate the same. On the other hand, the respondents produced documentary evidence, including the anterior title deed and revenue records. The petitioner did not produce reliable evidence to prove possession or ownership of 67 cents. Though the defense witness was present, the petitioner did not cross-examine him, and therefore the evidence tendered by the respondents stands un rebutted. From the materials on record, it is evident that document No.2440/1996 has been executed without valid title over the property and cannot be relied upon. The petitioner has failed to substantiate the allegation of unauthorized occupation raised against the respondents. The CEO's report supports the respondents' case and confirms that the petitioner's claim is unfounded. Accordingly, this Board finds that the petitioner has not established its right, title, or possession over 67 cents of land as claimed, and the prayer in the petition cannot be granted. However, it is clarified that the petitioner's right over 3.33 ares (8.25 cents) as per anterior title deed No.1657/1956 and corresponding revenue records stands protected. Steps may be taken by the parties before the Registration Department to rectify the erroneous entries in document No.2440/1996, and thereafter, the Waqf Register maintained by this Board shall be corrected in accordance with law. In the result, O.P. No.305/2016 is dismissed. No order as to costs.
9	OP 531/2022-Kozhikode Dist-Kozhikode Taluk-Kunnamangalam Choolamvayal Mahal Jumuath Palli Committee. Order pronounced vide separate order sheet in IA 263/2025. Posted to 05.11.2025 The petitioner has filed IA 263/2025 seeking to restrain the respondents from carrying out construction activities in the khabaristhan of the above waqf, alleging illegal occupation and unauthorized construction. The respondents have filed counter stating that they had submitted an application for permission before the Divisional Waqf Officer on 24.01.2024 along with the plan and estimate, and after proper enquiries, permission was granted for the construction. It is further stated that no khabaris were destroyed and the construction work is almost completed. On consideration, it is seen that the Divisional Waqf Officer, being the delegated authority of the Board, had already granted sanction for the construction.

	The Board is not required to interfere with an action lawfully undertaken by its officer within the scope of delegated powers. The allegations of the petitioner, therefore, do not constitute a prima facie case for restraining the respondents. The available remedy for the petitioner, if aggrieved by the sanction, is to file an appeal before the concerned authority as per law. Accordingly, IA 263/2025 is dismissed.
10	EP (A9)384/2022-Kozhikode Dist-Vadakara Taluk-Marudonkara Village- Al MadrassathulIslamiyya Suo moto re-opened. The parties are directed to produce copy of court order by which document no.2555/1996 is alleged to have been set asided and other relevant document to prove their claims. Posted to 05.11.2025.
11	OP 111/2024-Kozhikode Dist-Pannikkode-MullamadakkalJumuathPalli. Order pronounced in IA 421/2024 & IA 423/2024 vide separate order sheet. Posted to 05.11.2025 <u>IA 421/2025-</u> IA 421/2024 filed by the petitioner seeks audit of the accounts of the above waqf for the period 2019-20 to 2023-24. The petitioner contends that the managing committee has not maintained proper accounts, has not submitted the accounts for approval by the mahal members as required under the waqf deed, and that a committee itself has not been properly formed by the respondents, resulting in alleged misappropriation of waqf funds. The respondents, on the other hand, contend that the Mullamandakkal Jumaath Masjid has been administered and managed by them for more than 70 years, that they are duly elected and constituted, and that all general body meetings have been conducted with transparency and accountability. They further state that the accounts are properly maintained, audited, and all dues to the Waqf Board have been timely and correctly paid. After considering the contentions of both parties, the application is allowed. The Divisional Waqf Officer, Kozhikode, is directed to conduct the audit for the period 2019-20 to 2023-24, including calling for objections and explanations from the managing committee, giving directions to correct any defects or irregularities pointed out in the audit report etc. If any amount is to be recovered as certified by the auditor the matter shall be placed before the Board through the administrative side for taking a decision regarding recovery. The audit shall be conducted expeditiously and in accordance with the provisions of the <u>UMEED Act, 1995</u>. <u>IA 423/2024</u> IA 423/2024 filed by the petitioner seeking the appointment of an interim mutawalli to administer the above waqf until disposal of the main original petition is considered. The petitioner contends that the respondents are not maintaining proper accounts, are

	misappropriating the waqf funds, have not formed a proper managing committee, have failed to convene the general body, and are acting against the aims and objectives of the waqf. The respondents deny these allegations, stating that the Mullamadakkal Jumaath Masjid has been administered and managed by them for over 70 years, in accordance with its bye-laws, and that the majority of mahallu members are followers of Kerala Nadvathul Mujahidheen ideology, which was also the belief of the waqif. The respondents further contend that proper minutes are maintained, accounts are correctly kept, and there is no vacuum in the committee. Upon consideration of the contentions, it is evident that the committee continues to function and no credible legal ground has been established for the appointment of an interim mutawalli at this stage. There is no case that there is vacuum in administration or the existing committee is removed. The matter regarding administration and any alleged mismanagement is to be examined in detail in the main original petition. Accordingly, IA 423/2024 is dismissed, with liberty to the petitioner to raise any relevant issues in the course of the main proceedings.
12	OP 333/22 – Kozhikode Dt- Kozhikode Taluk-KaranthurJuma-athPalli Committee For steps 5.11.2025
13	OP 31/21 – Kozhikode Dist.- Thamarassery Taluk – Thiruvambadi Thiyyaru Thattekkadu Juma-ath Palli Committee Issue notice to commissioner 5.11.2025
14	OP 275/17 Kozhikode Dt –Kozhikode Taluk-KaranthoorJuma-athPalli. For commission report 5.11.2025
15	OP 59/22-Kozhikode Dist-Koyilandy Taluk- Balusseri Mahal Muslim Jama athPalli For commission report 5.11.2025
16	EP(A9)-313/2023-Kozhikode Dist-Koyilandi Taluk-Meladi Village-KelanparambaNiskarapalli(Masjidul Mujahideen Perumalpuram) For commission rept5.11.2025
17	OP 9/2023-Kozhikode Dist-Vadakara Taluk-NadapuramJumuathPalliParipalana Committee. For hearing5.11.2025
18	OP 85/22 - Kozhikode Dist. – Vadakara Taluk – ChombalKunhipalliParipalana Committee

	For commission report 5.11.2025
19	OP 5/16 Kozhikode Dt-Kozhikode Taluk-South KodyathurJuma Masjid Committee For commission report 5.11.2025
20	EP(A5)2222/2017- Kozhikode Dt.-ThadathummalNiskarappalli. IA 326/25 counter filed IA 390/25 to summon witness Both IA allowed on payment of Rs.2500/- on each petition. For payment of cost 22.10.2025
21	OP 225/2024-Kozhikode Dist-Vadakara Taluk-Anchampeedika Mahal Jama-ath Committee. For R5 Adv. Thaqqyudeen filed vakkalath. For counter and IA 442/2025 for argument notes. 22.10.2025
22	EP(A9)-3325/2019-Kozhikode Dist-Thamarasseri Taluk- Puthoor Village EchikkunnummalJumuath Palli IA 284/2025 No counter, heard, allowed. IA 285/2025 No counter, allowed. Petitioner is directed to batta to bring witness. IA 283/2025 No counter, allowed. The commissioner is directed to record evidence of the witness. For commission report 22.10.2025
23	OP 85/15 Kozhikode - Vatakara Taluk – EyyenkodeChiyyoorJumathPalli For argument notes as last chance 5.11.2025
24	OP 295/2023-Kozhikode Dist-Vadakara Taluk – ChombalaKunhippalliParipalana Committee. For filing chief affidavit finally 22.10.25
25	OP 123/2023-Kozhikode Dist-Kozhikode Taluk-Kuttikkattur Muslim Jama-ath. For steps and filing affidavit finally 22.10.25
26	OP 341/2022-Kozhikode Dist- Kozhikode Taluk-ChoolamvayalMahalluJumaathPalli Committee For steps 5.11.2025
27	EP (A9)-6046/2022-Kozhikode Dist-Thamarasseri Taluk- Kedavoor Village-Irshadul Muslimeen Sangham. IA 391/2025 to summon the witness, notice taken ,no counter, allowed. Pay batta. For commission report 05.11.2025
28	OP 283/2023-Kozhikode Dist-Vadakara Taluk-ValayamKuttikkattilJuma- athPalliMahallu Committee. For counter 5.11.2025
29	OP 69/21 - Wayanad Dist.- Vythiri Taluk – Hanafi Jama-athJumaMasjidDhakkani Muslim Committee. For commission report 5.11.2025
30	OP 473/2025-Kozhikode Dist-KarasseriJumuathPalli OP not pressed. Dismissed

31	OP 477/2025-Kozhikode Dist-Thamarasseri Taluk-PannurKarandomthukJumuathPalli Committee. For steps 5.11.2025
32	OP 479/2025-Kozhikode Dist-PunnasseriNoorul Islam Sangham. Adv. Shanavas filed vakalath for the petitioner . R1 to 3 represented by Shahzad . For steps 5.11.2025
33	OP 481/2025- Kozhikode Dist- Kerala Nadvathul Mujahideen. For steps 05.11.2025
34	OP 527/2025-Wayanad Dist-Mananthawadi Taluk-KelloorKattichirakkalMahalluSharafulIslam Committee. For counter in IA 198/2025 and OP 5.11.2025
35	OP 29/2025-Kozhikode Dist-EravannurJumuathPalliMahallu Committee. For filing chief affidavit and for further evidence. No representation by the respondent. 5.11.2025
36	OP 49/2025-Kozhikode Dist-Kozhikode Taluk-Kerala Nadvathul Mujahideen, Karuvanthiruthi. For commission report 22.10.2025
37	OP 537/2022-Kozhikode Dist-Kozhikode Taluk – KaranthurJumaathPalli. IA 289/2025 and IA 290/2025 not pressed. Dismissed. For steps 5.11.2025
38	OP 251/2024-Kozhikode Dist-Vadakara Taluk-NadapuramJumuathPalliParipalana Committee. Counter in IA 188/2025 filed. And counter in IA 533/2024 filed. For counter in IA 249/2025. Posted to 22.10.2025
39	OP 45/2018-Kozhikode Dist-Kozhikode Taluk –ElathurJumuathPalli Heard. For orders 5.11.2025
40	EP(A5) 2850/2021/KKD – Kozhikode District – Kozhikode Taluk – Kodyathur Village – ParakkuzhiKunnath Sunni Muslim Palli Committee. Call on 5.11.2025
41	OP 27/2023-Kozhikode Dist-Kozhikode Taluk-PullalloorParapparaJumamasjid. For counter in IA 334/2025 and for filing chief affidavit 5.11.2025
42	OP 205/2024-Kozhikode Dist-Vadakara Taluk-ChombalaKunhipalliParipalana Committee Counter in OP filed. For steps 5.11.2025
43	O.P.No.139/2018- Kozhikode Dt –Kozhikode Taluk-KaaranthoorJuma-athPalli. Commission report filed. Pw1 and Pw2 examined. Exhbt A11 to A15 marked. For counter in IA 392/2025 postetd 5.11.2025
44	OP 29/2023-Kozhikode Dist-Koyilandi Taluk-KoyilandiJuma-athPalli Committee For filing chief affidavit 5.11.2025
45	OP 581/2025 – Kozhikode District – MadakkaraMahallu Committee. Filed counter in IA 281/2025 and IA 282/2025 byR1 to R3. For counter of R4 to R11 and for hearing and counter in main OP. posted to 5.11.2025
46	OP 469/2025-Kozhikode Dist-Kozhikode Taluk-Kuttikkattur Muslim YatheemKhana

	R1 to 3 argument notes filed. Petitioner filed argument notes . for orders 5.11.2025.
47	OP 215/2024-Kozhikode Dist-Vadakara Taluk-ChombalKunhipalliParipalana Committee Observer filed statement. For verification. For orders in IA 394/2024, IA 392/2024, IA395/2024. Posted to 5.11.2025
48	OP 15/2025-Malappuram Dist-ThandilamKadancheriMahallu Jama-ath Committee Counte r filed in IA 271/2025. Argument note filed by the petitioner. For notes of arguments by the respondents finally 7.10.2025 at Tirur.
49	OP 201/2022-Kozhikode Dist-Vadakara Taluk-Narippatta Nambiathamkundu JumuathPalli Mahallu Committee Heard. For orders 5.11.2025
50	OP 129/2023-Kozhikode Dist-Kozhikode Taluk- Chaliyam Muslim JamiyyathSangham. For argument notes 5.11.2025
51	OP 15/2024-Kozhikode Dist-Vadakara Taluk-EramalaJumuathPalliMahallu Committee. For hearing 48/2024, IA 589/2024, IA 590/2024 posted to 22.10.2025.
52	EP 3553/2024-Kozhikode Dist -Vadakara Taluk – ParambilMangaladJumuathPalli. For steps 5.11.2025
53	EP 3501/2000-Malappuram Dist-PalappattaQuvvathul Islam Madrassa First party filed document. 2 nd party filed statement with documents. For argument notes 22.10.2025
54	OP 451/2025-Malappuarm Dist-Ponnani Taluk-ThandilamKadancheriJumuathPalli With connected OP. 7.10.2025.
55	OP 475/2025-Kozhikode Dist-ChombalaKunhipalliParipalana Committee IA 201/2025, IA 202/2025 Heard. For orders 5.11.2025.
56	OP 31/2025-Kozhikode Dist-Koyilandi Taluk-UlliyeriJumuathPalli Amendment carried out. Issue notice to 5 th respondent 5.11.2025
57	OP 67/2025-Kozhikode Dist-Vadakara Taluk-ParambilMangaladJumuathPalli For counter IA 286/2025, IA 340/2025 and steps 5.11.2025
58	EP 6468/2007- Malappuram Dist. – Shoukulislam madrassa committee The enquiry proceeding was initiated on the basis of two applications for registration of Shoukul Islam Madrassa Committee. After various stages of litigation, the matter has been finally decided by the Waqf Tribunal, Kozhikode in W.O.A. No.214/2019 by order dated 05.07.2025. By the said order, the Tribunal set aside the earlier order of the Board and directed to take steps to register the waqf in the name of Shoukul Islam Madrassa Committee bearing Registration No.97/2007, on the finding that the waqif himself had admitted to having waqfed the property in favour of the committee and that the syllabus followed in the madrassa is not against the principles intended by the waqif. In view of the above order of the Waqf Tribunal, no further proceedings are required

	in this file. The file is accordingly closed. The Divisional Waqf Officer, Malappuram is directed to implement the order of the Tribunal dated 05.07.2025 by completing the registration in the name of Shoukul Islam Madrassa Committee, after following all procedural formalities as per the Act and the Rules framed thereunder.
59	OP 595/ 2025 – Kozhikode Dist. – Koyilandy Taluk- Kottakkal Muslim Jama-ath R 3 to 22 filed vakalath by Adv. Narayanan and filed counter. IA 322/2025, IA 323/2025, IA 324/2025. R 24 to 27, R29, R30 to 38 by Adv.Anas. R1, 2 and 23 Adv.Haadi, R39 by Adv.Misab. No representation by R 28. Name called set exparte. For counter 5.11.2025.
60	IA 385/2025 in OP 211/2024 – Kozhikode Dist – Koyilandy Taluk – NandiyilMuhiyudeenJumaathPalli IA 385/2025 advance hearing application allowed. For counter 22.10.2025
61	OP 611/2025 – Kozhikode Dist. – Vatakara Taluk – VelliyodeJumaathPalliParipalana committee Issue notice to the respondents. Posted to 22.10.2025 IA 386/2025- Issue notice to the respondents. Posted to 22.10.2025 IA 387/2025- Heard.The petitioner had made out a prima facie case. The respondents are hereby restrained from conducting election to the above Jama-ath without prior permission from the Waqf Board. Posted to 22.10.2025. IA 388/2025- Heard.The Divisional Waqf Officer,Kozhikode is directed to depute an auditor to conduct the audit of the above waqf for the period 2022 to 2025. Posted to 22.10.2025. IA 389/2025- Issue notice to the respondents. Posted to 22.10.2025
62	OP 264/2025 – Kottayam Dist – EttumanurAthirapuzha Muslim Jama ath Issue notice to the respondents through special messenger. Posted to 15.10.2025 IA 371/2025-Allowed IA 372/2025- Issue notice to the respondents through special messenger. Posted to 15.10.2025 IA 373/2025- Issue notice to the respondents through special messenger. Posted to 15.10.2025 IA 374/2025- Issue notice to the respondents through special messenger. Posted to 15.10.2025
63	OP 266/2025 – Ernakulum Dist – ilfathulislamsangham (ponnuruthi) jama-ath Issue notice to the respondents. Posted to 19.11.2025 IA 375/2025-Heard. The petitioner had made out a prima facie case. The respondents are hereby restrained from interfering the management and administration of Hussainiyya madrassa and Thykkav at Thamannam by the petitioner, the managing committee of the Ilfathul islam sangham. Posted to 19.11.2025
64	OP 613/2025-Kozhikode Dist- Kavumthara Kavil Mandankavu Puthanpalli Paripalana Committee Original Suit No.OS 47/2020 of Waqf Tribunal, Kozhikode is re numbered as OP 613/2025. Issue notice to all the parties. Posted to 05.11.2025

65	OP 615/2025-Kozhikode Dist-Thiruvambadi Thiyyara Thattekkatt Jumuath Palli Committee Original Suit No.OS 20/2019 of Waqf Tribunal, Kozhikode is re numbered as OP 615/2025. Issue notice to all the parties. Posted to 05.11.2025
----	--