

A DIARY – 20.08.2025

	<b><u>Case No. –Name andProceedings</u></b>
1	EP (A9)-1397/2023-Kozhikode Dist-Kozhikode Taluk-Farook College – Yatheemkhana Waqf Legal opinion not received. Issue intimation to Chief Executive Officer and posted for hearing, Posted to 24/09/2025.
2	OP.134/2019- KozhikkodeDist-Kozhikkode Taluk- MadavurIthihadul Muslimeen Sangham Order pronounced vide separate order sheet in IA 489/2024 & IA 490/2024 <b><u>IA 489/2024:-</u></b>  The petitioners have filed this I.A. seeking a direction to finalize the voters list of the 1st respondent Sangham by the Board itself after conducting proper enquiry. The contention of the petitioners is that the Advocate Commissioner appointed by the Board had published a draft voters list on 31.08.2024 consisting of 171 names, inviting objections by 10.09.2024. It is submitted that without conducting any enquiry on the objections received, the Returning Officer published the final voters list on 09.10.2024 including only 65 names, thereby excluding 106 members without notice or affording opportunity of hearing, which is in violation of principles of natural justice. It is further submitted that many long-standing members and even former office bearers were excluded without reason, while in fact the members had been regularly contributing to the mosque through traditional means and even bank transfers in recent years.  The respondents, in their counter, have contended that the Returning Officer had no right to include new members without the approval of the existing committee and that the final voters list containing 65 members was prepared in accordance with Clause V of the byelaws of the Sangham. It is argued that the petitioners were never members of the respondent committee and hence cannot claim to be affected by the election process.

	<p>On consideration of the records, it is noted that the Returning Officer himself submitted an interim report on 04.12.2024 requesting the Board to take a decision regarding the membership of certain persons who produced documents in support of their claims. It is further evident that the mosque and its jama-ath were in existence earlier and several members had been participating in the affairs of the jama-ath. Membership in an association or society registered under the Societies Registration Act cannot be the sole criterion to determine the membership of a mosque or jama-ath. The right to participate in jama-ath affairs cannot be curtailed on account of factionalism or by the arbitrary act of an office-bearer. Exclusion of earlier members, who were part of the mosque jama-ath, without notice or an opportunity to be heard, is unjustified and unsustainable.</p> <p>Therefore, it is clear that the final voters list restricting membership to 65 persons cannot be sustained. The draft voters list containing 171 members published on 31.08.2024 shall be treated as the valid voters list. A person in the said voters list can only be removed if he himself applies for removal or if removal proceedings are initiated after due notice and enquiry. In the present matter, no such procedure has been followed. The petitioners have also established that they are members of the mosque jama-ath.</p> <p>Accordingly, the I.A. is allowed. The Returning Officer is directed to proceed with the election to the 1st respondent Sangham using the draft voters list of 171 members published on 31.08.2024. The election shall be conducted in accordance with law and the bye-laws of the Sangham, ensuring transparency and fairness. Posted to 22.10.2025.</p> <p><b><u>IA 490/2024:-</u></b> In the light of order in IA 489/2024, this IA stands closed.</p>
3	<p>OP 293/2023-Kozhikode Dist-Kozhikode Taluk-Da-wathul Islam Trustee, Chalappuram.</p> <p>Order pronounced vide separate order sheet.</p>

The main O.P. is filed by the petitioner, who is the Waqif, seeking permission to appoint any Islamic organizations carry out the directions contained in Document No. 2016/2016 of Chathamangalam SRO on the ground that the respondents, who were managing the Waqf, failed to discharge their duties in accordance with the intention of the Waqif. During the pendency of the O.P., the petitioner filed a petition to implead two persons as additional 3rd and 4th respondents on the submission that the trust chairman, arrayed as respondents 1 and 2, is no more, and that the newly elected trust chairman has to be impleaded. The petitioner also sought correction of an error that had occurred in paragraph D of the petition. The petition for impleadment and amendment was allowed, supplemental respondents entered appearance and filed counter.

The supplemental respondents in their counter have submitted that they have no objection to entrusting other Islamic organizations with the responsibility of carrying out the stipulations in the Waqf deed and have requested the Board to take appropriate decisions considering the submissions made in the O.P.

On a careful consideration of the matter, it is noted that the petitioner is the Waqif. Under law, the Waqif has the authority to amend the Waqf deed to the extent of altering the Mutawalli clause, provided that such amendment does not alter the basic nature and objects of the Waqf. Hence, the first prayer of the petitioner is liable to be allowed.

Accordingly, the Waqif is permitted to amend the Waqf deed by modifying the clause relating to appointment of Mutawalli, subject to the condition that the basic nature and object of the Waqf shall not be altered. Until such amendment is carried out in the Waqf deed and a new Mutawalli is appointed in accordance therewith, the petitioner shall continue to function as Mutawalli of the

	Waqf. Ordered accordingly.
4	<p>EP 2221/2017-Kozhikode Dist-Kozhikode Taluk-Kadalundi Village-Poolakkandi Srambi.</p> <p>IA.325/25 for advance hearing , IA 326/2025 to re open the petition of the petitioner IA 330/2025 petition to reopen IA.331/2025 petition to receive the documents allowed posted 22.10.2025</p>
5	<p>EP(A12)-4751/2018- Kozhikode Dt – Kozhikode Taluk – ParambattumbalNamaskarapalli.</p> <p>Order pronounced vide separate order sheet in IA 56/2025.</p> <p>The present Interlocutory Application is filed by third parties seeking to implead themselves as supplemental respondents 7 and 8 in the ongoing enquiry proceedings. The applicants contend that the existing parties have not disclosed complete facts before the Board, and that they, being alleged mahal members and interested persons, are necessary to place the true state of affairs on record.</p> <p>The second party has filed a detailed counter denying the averments and opposing impleadment. It is submitted that all relevant facts, pleadings, and supporting documents have already been placed before the Board for adjudication, and that the applicants are neither necessary nor proper parties. It is further pointed out that the applicants have not produced a single document to establish that they are mahal members, beneficiaries of the waqf, or persons directly interested in its administration. The 1st applicant is admittedly working abroad, and both applicants have not shown any active involvement in the affairs of the waqf.</p> <p>On consideration, it is evident that this enquiry has been pending for more than <b>eight years</b>, and is now posted for final hearing. At this advanced stage, allowing impleadment would unnecessarily protract the matter and delay final adjudication, contrary to the interest of justice. The scheme of the Waqf Act empowers the Board to enquire into all aspects of waqf administration and to ascertain facts independently by calling for records, appointing commissioners, and examining witnesses. Thus, the Board is not dependent upon private parties</p>

	<p>seeking impleadment to collect facts, especially when they have failed to demonstrate locus standi as beneficiaries of the waqf.</p> <p>The petitioners have not produced any documentary evidence to show that they are residing within the territorial limits of the Jama-ath or that they are beneficiaries of the concerned waqf. Mere bald assertions without substantiation cannot confer the right of impleadment. The settled position is that only necessary and proper parties who are directly affected or who can aid effective adjudication may be added. The present applicants fail to satisfy either requirement.</p> <p>In these circumstances, the Board is convinced that the impleading application is wholly misconceived, intended only to delay the final disposal of this long-pending enquiry, and is devoid of merit.</p> <p>The enquiry shall proceed to final hearing as scheduled. Posted to 22/10/2025.</p>
6	<p>OP 185/2024-Kozhikode Dist-Kozhikode Taluk – PuthiyaraMaliyekkalMammu Haji Waqf Order pronounced vide separate order sheet.</p> <p>The petitioner, claiming to be a beneficiary of the above Waqf, has alleged serious irregularities in the administration of the institution, particularly that the managing committee is continuing without fresh election as mandated under the approved scheme, that no returns or audited accounts are being submitted, and that the rental income from Waqf properties is being grossly mismanaged. It is further alleged that shop rooms are leased out at meagre rent without any effort to enhance the income in accordance with market rates and that proper accounts are not being maintained.</p> <p>Along with the O.P., I.A. No. 271/24 was filed for appointment of an Advocate Commissioner. The Commissioner inspected the properties and filed a report revealing that several shop rooms and other properties are leased out for very low rent and the overall condition of the Waqf property is unsatisfactory. I.A. No. 270/24 was also filed seeking audit of the accounts, which was allowed, and an</p>

auditor was appointed to audit the accounts for the period 2018–2023. However, no audit report has been filed till date. It is also noted from the records that, as per the approved scheme, the managing committee must consist of members elected from the thavazhies along with a nominee of the Board, but no such details are available in the present files. The committee has also failed to appear before the Board.

In view of the prima facie irregularities disclosed from the materials available and the failure of the committee to account for its administration, it becomes necessary for the Board to exercise its supervisory jurisdiction under Sections 32, 67 and 70 of the Waqf Act, 1995 to ensure proper management and protection of the Waqf.

Accordingly, the **Divisional Waqf Officer, Kozhikode**, is directed to conduct a **detailed enquiry** into the affairs of the Waqf and examine the relevant records in the Board. The enquiry shall specifically cover:

1. The present constitution of the managing committee and whether it is in conformity with the approved scheme.
2. The details of Waqf properties, their present condition, tenancy arrangements, names of tenants, rent received, and whether such rent is reasonable compared to prevailing market rates.
3. The details of accounts submitted before the Board, including whether returns and audit reports from 2018 onwards have been properly filed.
4. Whether there has been any mismanagement of funds or loss of income to the Waqf.
5. The Divisional Waqf Officer shall submit a comprehensive report covering the above points within **two months** from the date of receipt of this order. The matter shall thereafter be placed before the Board through the administrative side for further orders. Posted to 22/10/2025.

7	<p>OP 113/2024-Kozhikode Dist-Kozhikode Taluk-Puttekad Mahal Palli Committee Order pronounced vide separate order sheet .</p> <p>The petitioners in the above O.P. have approached this Board making serious allegations regarding the administration and management of <i>Puttekad Jamaath Palli</i> and sought reliefs under Sections 32, 67 and 70 of the Waqf Act, 1995, including the appointment of a Returning Officer to conduct the election of the respondent committee and other ancillary reliefs.</p> <p>The respondents entered appearance through Adv. V.P. Narayanan and filed counter statement. It is admitted in the counter that the respondents are the President and Secretary of the 1st respondent Sangham, which is a society registered under the Societies Registration Act bearing No. 250/2001. It is further submitted that the respondents have no objection to the election being conducted by a Returning Officer appointed by the Board and have also expressed willingness to extend all necessary assistance for the conduct of such election.</p> <p>Meanwhile, a third party filed I.A. No. 425/2024 seeking to implead himself as an additional respondent in the O.P. The said I.A. was allowed and he was impleaded as additional 3rd respondent in his personal capacity as a member and beneficiary of the Mahal. However, despite sufficient opportunity, the impleaded respondent failed to file counter and remained absent. Hence, he was set ex parte on 18/06/2025 and the matter was posted for orders.</p> <p>On a careful consideration of the records, it is seen that on earlier occasions also, election to the respondent committee was conducted through Returning Officer appointed by the Board. The report of the then Returning Officer is available in the file, which shows that the election</p>

	<p>process was conducted smoothly in accordance with the bye-laws of the Jamaath. In view of the admitted stand of the respondents that they have no objection for appointment of a Returning Officer and also having regard to the necessity of conducting election in a transparent manner, this Board is of the view that election to the respondent committee has to be conducted by a Returning Officer appointed by the Board.</p> <p>Accordingly, Adv. <b>Anas</b> is hereby appointed as Returning Officer to conduct election to the respondent committee as per the bye-laws of the Jamaath through secret ballot system. The Returning Officer shall strictly follow all procedural formalities under the bye-laws and complete the entire election process within <b>three months</b> from the date of receipt of this order and report.</p> <p>The respondent committee shall pay an amount of <b>Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand only)</b> to the Returning Officer as initial batta within <b>15 days</b> from the date of receipt of this order. The Returning Officer is authorized to fix nomination fee not exceeding <b>Rs.2,000/-</b> per candidate. The expenses for conducting the election shall be met from the Jama-ath funds. Matter is posted for the report of the Returning Officer to 22/10/2025.</p>
8	<p>AA 233/2025-Kozhikode Dist-ParakkadavJumuathPalli. Order pronounced vide separate order sheet</p> <p>The present appeal under section 72(7) of the Waqf Act, 1995 to set aside the assessment order passed by the assessing authority and to pass a fresh order in this regard is submitted by Secretary, Parakkadavu Jumuath Palli, Thanakottur(P.O),Parakkadavu,Vadakara-673509.</p> <p>The amount of contribution assessed by the assessing authority on best judgment basis for the year 2019-20 is at Rs.21,560/- The appellant has paid an amount of Rs.7,187/- towards the 1/3<sup>rd</sup> of the disputed amount as provided under</p>



	<p>the Kerala Waqf Rules 2019.</p> <p>The appellant had averred in the appeal memorandum that the Committee is not having any income to pay the contribution amount fixed by the Board. Hence they pray for setting aside the assessment order passed by the assessing authority. The appellant has submitted return for the disputed year along with the appeal.</p> <p>Notice was issued to the appellant and he has appeared and prays time for producing the documents and when the matter came up before the board on subsequent postings the appellant has not produced the documents as directed by the Board.</p> <p>When the matter came up before the board on 18.06.2025 the appellant has not produced the documents and there was no representation and matter posted for orders. After perusing the file the Board found that even though the notice issued by the assessing authority has been received and no return was submitted by the appellant and a direction was already given by the board to produce the document and the appellant has failed to comply the direction. Hence the Board feels that a lenient view cannot be taken in the case of the above appeal..</p> <p>In the above circumstances, there is no need to interfere with the assessment made by the assessing authority and the above assessment appeal is hereby dismissed as no merit.</p>
9	<p>AA 351/2025-KasaragodeDist-Cherankai Jama-ath Committee Order pronounced vide separate order sheet.</p> <p>The present appeal under section 72(7) of the Waqf Act, 1995 to set aside the assessment order passed by the assessing authority and to pass a fresh order in this regard is submitted by Secretary, Cherangai Jama-ath Committee, Kudlu P.O, Kasaragode, 671124 Pin.</p> <p>The amount of contribution assessed by the assessing authority on best judgment basis for the years 2019-20 to 2021-22 is at Rs.36,900/- The appellant</p>

	<p>has paid an amount of Rs.12,300/- towards the 1/3<sup>rd</sup> of the disputed amount as provided under the Kerala State Waqf Rules 2019.</p> <p>The appellant had averred in the appeal memorandum that the Committee is not having any income to pay the contribution amount fixed by the Board. Hence they pray for setting aside the assessment order passed by the assessing authority. The appellant has submitted return for the disputed year along with the appeal.</p> <p>Notice was issued to the appellant and he has appeared and prays time for producing the documents and when the matter came up before the board on subsequent postings the appellant has not produced the documents as directed by the Board.</p> <p>When the matter came up before the board on 18.06.2025 the respondent has not produced the documents and matter posted for orders. After perusing the file the Board found that even though the notice issued by the assessing authority has been received and no return was submitted by the appellant and a direction was already given by the board to produce the document and the appellant has failed to comply the direction. Hence the Board feels that a lenient view cannot be taken in the case of the above appeal..</p> <p>In the above circumstances, there is no need to interfere with the assessment made by the assessing authority and the above assessment appeal is hereby dismissed as no merit.</p>
10	<p>OP 247/2023-Kozhikode Dist-Vadakara Taluk – Madakkara Mahallu JumuathPalli</p> <p>Order pronounced vide separate order sheet.</p> <p>The present Original Petition was filed seeking various reliefs including enquiry into the administration of Madakkara Jumaath Palli (Waqf No. 4104/RA), appointment of a Returning Officer, conduct of audit and appointment of an interim Mutawalli. During the pendency of proceedings, several I.As. were also filed and considered. It is now submitted by the petitioners through statement that an election to the managing committee of the Waqf was conducted on 24.02.2025 in</p>

	<p>the presence of an Observer appointed by the Board and that new office bearers have already taken charge of the administration of the Waqf. In view of the said development, the petitioners have prayed to withdraw the Original Petition, stating that they do not intend to prosecute the matter further.</p> <p>It is a settled principle of law that the petitioner is the master of his litigation and is entitled to withdraw the proceeding filed by him, unless any statutory bar exists.. Even though there are disputes raised by the contesting parties, the same cannot be adjudicated in the present proceedings once the petitioners themselves have sought withdrawal. However, it is made clear that this withdrawal will not preclude the Board from exercising its independent supervisory jurisdiction under Sections 32, 67 and 70 of the Waqf Act, 1995 in future, if circumstances warrant.</p> <p>Accordingly, the prayer of the petitioners to withdraw the Original Petition is allowed. The Original Petition is dismissed as withdrawn.All pending I.As. in this O.P. shall stand closed.</p>
11	<p>OP 279/15 Kozhikode Taluk-South KodyathurMambaululoom madrassa committee Order pronounced vide separate order sheet.</p> <p>The petitioners had filed O.P. under Sections 32, 67 and 70 of the Waqf Act, 1995 seeking, inter alia, appointment of an Advocate Commissioner for conducting election to the South Kodyathoor Mambaul Uloom Madrassa Committee after preparing voters list, for conducting audit of accounts of the Waqf for the last five years, for registration of the properties of the Waqf before the Board and for other ancillary reliefs. The respondents entered appearance and filed counter denying the allegations and contended that the petitioners have no locus to maintain the petition.</p> <p>By an order dated 06/12/2023, the Board decided that no further</p>

	<p>action was necessary on the basis of the audit report and directed the respondents to rectify the minor defects. The Divisional Waqf Officer, Kozhikode, was also directed to verify the title deeds and other documents pertaining to the Waqf and to take steps for registering the Waqf and its properties within three months. The Divisional Waqf Officer was further directed to enquire into the election to the South Kodyathoor Mambaul Uloom Madrassa Committee and to report whether the election is to be conducted by the Board.</p> <p>Pursuant there to, the Divisional Waqf Officer has conducted enquiry and submitted his report stating that the Waqf, namely Mambaul Uloom Madrassa Committee, can be registered only after obtaining possession certificate of the property. It was also reported that both sides informed that documents are submitted before Court. It is also reported that a general body was held on 08/03/2024 in which a new committee came into existence, and that Mr. Yakkoob Faizi and Mr. Moideen were elected as President and Secretary respectively, and a copy of the minutes was produced.</p> <p>In view of the above, the Divisional Waqf Officer, Kozhikode, is hereby directed to collect details of any case pending before the Waqf Tribunal or in any other courts in respect of Mambaul Uloom Madrassa Committee, obtain a “One and the Same” certificate from the Village Officer to show that the Waqf name mentioned in the deed and Mambaul Uloom Madrassa are one and the same, and secure the possession certificate of the properties of the Waqf. The Divisional Waqf Officer shall submit a detailed report along with the above documents within a period of two months. The matter shall thereafter be placed before the Board through the administrative side for further orders.</p>
12	<p>OP 111/2024-Kozhikode Dist-Pannikkode-MullamadakkalJumuathPalli. Order not ready. For orders, posted to 24/09/2025.</p>

13	EP (A9)384/2022-Kozhikode Dist-Vadakara Taluk-Marudonkara Village- Al MadrassathulIslamiyya Order not ready. For orders, posted to 24/09/2025.
14	OP 305/2016 – Kozhikode Dist.– West Paadoor PHED Mahallu Muslim Jama-ath Committee Order not ready. For orders, posted to 24/09/2025.
15	<p>OP 95/2016-Palakkad Dist-KombankalluMahallu Muslim Jama-ath Order pronounced in IA 96/2016 vide separate order sheet.Posted to 26.08.2025</p> <p>The above Original Petition was filed by the petitioners seeking enquiry into the administration and management of Kombankallu Jumaath Palli and its waqf properties, for auditing the income and expenditure of the waqf, for framing a scheme, and for conducting elections as per the bye-law approved by the Waqf Board, along with other ancillary reliefs. In the present I.A. No. 96/2016, the respondents in the O.P. seek to challenge the report filed by Sri. Mahanudheen, Officer of the Waqf Board, alleging that the said report was prepared without any notice to them, without conducting any actual or proper inspection, and by merely reproducing the allegations made by the petitioners. It is further alleged that the officer did not meet the members of the executive committee, did not examine the relevant documents, and failed to give the mutawallis or office bearers an opportunity to explain the factual position.</p> <p>The respondents in the I.A. denied these allegations, but during the proceedings, the said officer, Sri. Mahanudheen, was examined before the Board. On evaluation of his testimony, it is evident that his answers lacked clarity, he was unable to respond to several pertinent questions, and the report suffers from serious deficiencies. It is neither comprehensive nor supported by detailed factual findings. It does not disclose any meaningful interaction with all necessary stakeholders, nor does it demonstrate a proper verification of records.</p> <p>This Board is constrained to record its disapproval of the manner in which the enquiry was conducted. An enquiry of this nature is not a mere formality but a quasi-judicial process requiring diligence, neutrality, and a meticulous assessment of facts.</p>

	<p>A perfunctory exercise undermines both the credibility of the officer and the institutional integrity of the Board. Reports prepared without adequate enquiry, without hearing all sides, and without proper verification of documents are unacceptable and will not be acted upon. An officer of the Board must remember that he is entrusted with public duties of high responsibility, and that casual or superficial discharge of such duties amounts to dereliction of official responsibility.</p> <p>In these circumstances, this Board is of the view that the deficiencies in the report can be cured only by conducting a proper and complete enquiry. Accordingly, the matter is remitted back to the same officer, Sri. Mahanudheen, for fresh enquiry. The officer shall:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Issue at least one week's prior written notice to all necessary parties before any visit or inspection.</li> <li>2. Personally meet the office bearers of the waqf, the members of the executive committee, and other relevant stakeholders in the mahal.</li> <li>3. Examine and verify all relevant documents and records relating to the administration, management, and accounts of the waqf.</li> <li>4. Record statements from all sides to ensure a balanced and impartial report.</li> <li>5. Prepare a detailed and reasoned report covering all aspects, with clear findings.</li> </ol> <p>The fresh report shall be submitted before the Board within 30 days from the date of receipt of this order. The enquiry officer is reminded that he conducts such enquiry in lieu of the Board itself, and therefore is expected to display maximum application of mind, independence, and fairness in discharging this responsibility. Posted to 26/08/2025.</p>
16	<p>OP 531/2022-Kozhikode Dist-Kozhikode Taluk-KunnamangalamChoolamvayal Mahal JumuathPalli Committee. Order not ready. Posted to 24/09/2025.</p>
17	<p>EP (A8)-1594/2021-Kozhikode Dist-Kozhikode Taluk-JDT Islam Orphanage. Statement filed with documents, for hearing 22.10.2025</p>
18	<p>EP 894/2022-Wayanad Dist-Mananthawadi Taluk-VarambattaJumuathPalli.</p>

	Call on 22.10.2025
19	EP (A9)-7702/2024-Malappuram Dist-Tirur Taluk-PurathoorJumuathPalli For steps 26.08.2025
20	OP 539/2022-Kozhikode Dist-Vadakara Taluk – AzhiyoorAnchampeedika Mahal Jamaath Committee. R4 to R7 Adv Narayanan filed Vakalath. Counter filed in IA 244/2025 for hearing, for counter in OP R4 to R7 22.10.2025
21	OP 89/2024- KozhikodeDist-Vadakara Taluk- PanaadaJumaathPalli. Await audit report 22.10.2025
22	OP 221/2024-Kozhidkode Dist-Vadakara Taluk-Darussalam Association. IA 437/2024 not pressed dismissed, IA.438/2025 for orders. For report of Returning officer 22.10.2025
23	OP 15/2021-Kozhikode Dist-Kozhikode Taluk-Puttekkad Mahal Palli Committee. For steps 22.10.2025
24	OP 279/2023-Kozhikode Dist-Thamarasseri Taluk-PullaloorParapparaJuma Masjid Mahallu Committee. IA 139/2025 – issue notice to respondents IA 140/2025 -for hearing IA 141/2025 – for hearing IA 142/2025 – for hearing 22.10.2025
25	OP 129/2023-Kozhikode Dist-Kozhikode Taluk- Chaliyam Muslim JamiyyathSangham. IA245/2025, IA 246/2025 counter filed. For argument notes 24.09.2025
26	OP 239/2023-Kozhikode Dist-Thamarasseri Taluk-KarandomthookJumuathPalli. IA 609/2024 R1 filed counter. No counter filed , for counter and hearing 22.10.2025
27	OP 31/2025-Kozhikode Dist- UlliyeJumuathPalli. IA 335/2025, IA 336/2025 no counter filed. Heard both sides allowed. Office shall carry out amendment 24.09.2025
28	OP 33/2025-Kozhikode Dist-Thamarasseri Taluk-EchikkunnummalJumuathPalli Call on 24.09.2025
29	OP 35/2025-Kozhikode Dist-Koyilandi Taluk-Masjidussunniyya, Palachuvadu For steps with connected OP 22.10.2025
30	OP 37/2025-Kozhikode Dist-Koyilandi Taluk-MasjidussunniyyaParipalana Committee With connected OP 22.10.2025
31	OP 39/2025-Kozhikode Dist-Vadakara Taluk-Tha-aleemul Islam Madrassa Committee For steps 22.10.2025
32	OP 41/2025-Kozhikode Dist-Koyilandi Taluk-Karumarath Mubarak Masjid Mahallu Committee

	Adv CK Damodaran filed vakalth for petitioner . For steps 22.10.2025
33	OP 43/2025-Kozhikode Dist-KarasseriJumuathPalli Counsel submitted that he is not pressing the OP. Endorsed. Hence OP dismissed.
34	OP 45/2025-Kozhikode Dist-Koyilandi Taluk-Siddiq Palli For steps 22.10.2025
35	OP 47/2025 -Kozhikode Dist-Koyilandi Taluk-PookkadMuhiyudheenJumuathPalli Adv. Mohammed Shahzad for R3 .For steps 22.10.2025
36	OP 53/2025-Kozhikode Dist-Vennakkode Masjidul Farooq NiskaraPalli Committee For evidence 22.10.2025
37	OP 339/2022-Kozhikode Dist-Vadakara Taluk-Moyiloth Kandi Juma Masjid Paripalana Committee Commission report filed. PW1 examined, evidence closed. For respondents evidence and for chief affidavit of the respondent 22.10.2025
38	OP 127/2023-Kozhikode Dist-Kozhikode Taluk-Samastha Kerala SunnivyuvajanaSangham,Nallalam West Shakha For steps 22.10.2025
39	OP 193/22 – Kozhikode Dist. – Thamarassery Taluk – VavadJuma-athPalliMahallu Committee Statement filed. OP closed
40	OP 161/2023-Kozhikode Dist-Kozhikode Taluk-MayanadMuslimJama-athCommittee For steps 22.10.2025
41	OP 125/2023-Kozhikode Dist-Vadakara Taluk- KummangodeSalahiyathussibiyanSanghadana For steps 22.10.2025
42	OP 335/22 - Kozhikode Dt-Vadakra Taluk-AnchamPeedikaMahallu Jama-ath Committee With connected OP 22.10.2025
43	OP 243/19 Kozhikode Dt -AnjaamPeedikaMahallu Jama-ath Committee With connected 22.10.2025
44	OP 71/22- Kozhikode Dist.- Vadakara Taluk- AnjampeedikaMahallu Jama ath Committee With connected op 22.10.2025
45	OP 237/2023-KazhikodeDist-Vadakara Taluk-Anchampeedika Mahal Jama-ath Committee. With connected 22.10.2025
46	OP 33/2022-Kozhikode Dist. – AzhiyoorAnchamPeedika Mahal Jama ath Committee With connected 22.10.2025
47	OP 35/2022-Kozhikode Dist. - AzhiyoorAnchamPeedika Mahal Jama ath Committee. With connected 22.10.2025
48	OP 275/2023-Kozhikode Dist-Vadakara Taluk-AnchampeedikaMahal Jama-ath Committee With connected 22.10.2025



49	OP 49/2023-Kozhikode Dist-Vadakara Taluk-KummankodeSalahiyyathussibiyangSanghadana With connected OP. 22.10.2025
50	OP 179/2024 -Kozhikode Dist-Kozhikode Taluk- Haji V.Ali Barami Waqf. For objection to audit report and counter finally. Posted to 22/10/2025.
51	OP 211/2024-Kozhikode Dist-Vadakara Taluk - NandiyilMuhiyudheenJumuathPalli. IA. 595/2024, IA 388/2024 No representation by petitioner and respondent for orders 22.10.2025
52	OP 183/2024- Kozhikode Dis -Kozhikode Taluk - KuttikkatturMuslim Jama-ath Committee For counter in IA 368/2024, IA 369/2024, IA 296/2024 and counter in OP. Posted to 22/10/2025.
53	OP 5/2023- Kozhikode Dist-Vadakara Taluk-ValayamKuttikkattilJumuathPalliMahallu Committee For payment of entire audit fee 22.10.2025
54	OP 233/2023- Kozhikode Dist-Vadakara Taluk-ValayamKuttikkattilJumuathPalliMahallu Committee. For commission report 22.10.2025
55	OP 245/2024-Kozhikode Dist-Kozhikode Taluk-Karimadath Family Waqf IA 337/2025 for prosecution. For counter and objection to audit report 22.10.2025
56	OP 19/2023-Kozhikode Dist-Vadakara Taluk-ChombalaKunhippalliParipalana Committee. For steps 22.10.2025
57	OP 61/2025-Kozhikode Dist-ChombalaKunhippalliParipalana Committee. Copy served in IA 59/2025 For counter 22.10.2025
58	OP 83/22 - Kozhikode Dist. - Vadakara Taluk -AnchamPeedikaMahallu Jama ath Committee For steps and hearing 22.10.2025
59	OP 453/2025-Kozhikode Dist-Koyilandi Taluk-PookkadMuhiyudheenJumuathPalli. IA 267/2025, IA268/2025 No counter in IA 265/2025 and 267/2025 . Heard IA 267/2025 allowed. Adv. Ajmal appointed as Advocate commissioner for local inspection. Pay Rs.5000/- as batta to the commissioner. For commissioner report and counter in IA 268/2025 and counter in OP. Posted to 22.10.2025
60	OP 505/2025-Wayanad Dist-KalpettaNusrathudheen Muslim Sangham IA 190/2025 closed. Counter in IA 176/2025 filed for Hearing 22.10.2025
61	EP 3553/2024-Kozhikode Dist -Vadakara Taluk – ParambilMangaladJumuathPalli. For steps 24.09.2025
62	OP 237/2024- Malappuram District-Tirur Taluk- Kerala Nadvathul Mujahideen. IA 339/2025 for amendment. IA 98/2025, IA 99/2025. Heard IA339/2025, IA 98/2025 and IA 99/2025 allowed. Office is directed to carry out amendment. IA 338/2025 for counter 22.10.2025
63	OP 67/2025- Kozhikode Dt- Vadakara Taluk- ParambilMangaladJumaathPalli

	For counter in IA 286/2025. IA 340/2025 joint trial application 24.09.2025
64	OP 475/2025-Kozhikode Dist-Vadakara Taluk-ValiyakathKarakettiKunhitharuvai Haji Kudumba Trust IA 201/2025, IA 202/2025 counter filed. Argument notes filed by the respondent . For argument notes by the petitioner 24.09.2025
65	OP 193/2024-Kozhikode Dist-Vadakara Taluk-Darussalam Association. Endorsed, not pressed. OP closed.
66	OP 15/2024-Kozhikode Dist-Vadakara Taluk-EramalaJumuathPalliMahallu Committee. IA 589/2025, IA 590/2025 counter filed.IA 48/2025 commission report filed. For hearing or production of documents 24.09.2025
67	OP 247/2024-Kozhikode Dist-Kozhikode Taluk-MullamadakkalJuma Masjid Committee. IA 248/2025 Argument notes by respondent in IA 248/2025 filed. For argument notes of the petitioner. Posted to 22.102025
68	OP 269/2024-Kozhikode Dist-Vadakara Taluk-Kottakkal Muslim Jama-ath. IA 292/2025 application to withdraw the OP. IA 292/2025 allowed. OP closed
69	EP 3501/2000-Malappuram Dist-PalappattaQuvvathul Islam Madrassa File statement with documents. Posted to 24/09/2025.
70	EP 6468/2007-Malappuram Dist-Shaukul Islam Madrassa Committee Tribunal order produced with memo. For orders 24.09.2025
71	OP 469/2025-Kozhikode Dist-Kozhikode Taluk-Kuttikkattur Muslim YatheemKhana R1 to R3 filed counter R4 to R6 counter filed. For argument notes and Hearing 24.09.2025
72	OP 181/2024-Kozhikode Dist-Kozhikode Taluk – MuthuvattuparaJumuathPalliMahallu Committee. For audit report. Issue intimation to the auditor. 22.10.2025
73	OP 201/2022-Kozhikode Dist-Vadakara Taluk- NarippattaNambiathamkunduJumuathPalliMahallu Committee IA 01/2025 for argument notes. No counter for R5, 6 & 7 as reported by the counsel. Posted to 24.09.2025
74	OP 215/2024-Kozhikode Dist-Vadakara Taluk-ChombalKunhipalliParipalana Committee For statement of observer with respect to the video recording. IA 394/2025 for orders. IA 392/2024 and IA 395/2024 posted 24.09.2025.
75	OP 581/2025 – Kozhikode District – MadakkaraMahallu Committee. Adv. Narayanan for R1 to 3. Adv. Sathik for R4 to R11. For counter in OP, for counter in IA 281/2025, IA 282/2025 and for hearing, posted to 24.09.2025
76	EP (A9)-300/2016-Malappuram Dist-Tirurangadi Taluk-Thennala Village-KodakkalluJuma Masjid For argument notes 26.08.2025
77	OP.491/2025- Malappuram Dist-Vettikattiri ValluvangadJumaathPalli

	IA 328/2025 advance hearing application – allowed. IA 327/2025 – allowed. For counter in IA 228/2025, for counter in IA 329/2025 and hearing posted to 26.08.2025
78	OP 62/2022- Palakad Dist. – Amayur Juma masjid Returning officer submitted that he is not willing to discharge duties entrusted by the Board. No genuine reason stated. So Retuning officer removed. And Adv.Hamza appointed as new retraining officer to conduct the election within 3 months. Refund the initial batta if any paid. Pay initial batta of Rs. 15000/- and for Returning officer's report 17.09.2025
79	OP.595/2025- Kozhikode Dist – Koyilandy Taluk – Kottakkal Muslim Jama-ath Pay batta to special messenger 24.09.2025
80	OP.601/2025- Kozhikode Dist. – KarasseryJumaathPalli Issue notice to all respondents 22.10.2025
81	IA 333/2025 in OP 27/2023 – Kozhikode Dist – Pullaloor ParaparaJumaathPalli IA 332/2025- Out of order- Allowed. IA 333/2025- Advance hearing, allowed. IA 334/2025- Notice given. For counter and hearing, Posted to 24/09/2025.
82	EP-2222/2017 –Kozhikode Dist- Thadathummal Namaskara Palli IA 325/2025 -Advance hearing application allowed. IA 326/2025- To reopen the evidence – for counter 24.09.2025