

A DIARY
Dated: 12.08.2025

1.	<p>EP No. (A 9) 8081/2024/TVM Pangod Puthen Pally, Thiruvananthapuram</p> <p>This Enquiry Proceedings was initiated on the basis of a report before the Board that by order dated 01.11.2024, the Board had appointed Advocate Nasir Hussain as Returning Officer. However, when the Returning Officer reached the Jama-ath to take charge, he was handed over a communication stating that an election had already been held on 27.09.2024 under the bye-laws. The Returning Officer reported that the committee proceeded with the election while the petition for appointment of a Returning Officer was still pending and before the Board's order was issued. On perusal of the file it is seen that O.P. No. 2/2022, was filed before the Board seeking the appointment of a Returning Officer for the conduct of election to the managing committee of Pangode Puthen Palli Jama-ath (Waqf Reg. No. 5113/RA). The records show that notice was duly served on the Jama-ath, and the respondents entered appearance as early as 22.03.2022. On 23.08.2022, Respondents 1 to 11 filed a counter, while other respondents sought time for filing their statements, and the matter was posted to 25.10.2022. Thus, the pendency of the petition and its subject matter was fully within the knowledge of the existing committee. By order dated 01.11.2024, the Board appointed Advocate Nasir Hussain as Returning Officer as the respondent committee failed to appear before the Board for hearing. However, when the Returning Officer reached the Jama-ath to take charge, he was handed a communication stating that an election had already been held on 27.09.2024 under the bye-laws. The Returning Officer reported that the committee proceeded with the election while the petition for appointment of a Returning Officer was still pending and before the Board's order was issued. The records further disclose that the earlier committee, said to have taken charge on 28.08.2021, was also under challenge before the Board.</p> <p>On a careful consideration of the facts, it is clear that the election claimed to have been held on 27.09.2024 was conducted unilaterally during the pendency of proceedings before the Board and without disclosure of such intention to the Board. The committee, despite being a party to O.P. No. 2/2022, did not inform the Board at any stage of its proposal to conduct elections, thereby suppressing material facts. This act of conducting an election behind the back of the Board was evidently designed to defeat the Board's supervisory jurisdiction. Section 32(2) of the Waqf Act, 1995 vests the Board with authority to supervise elections and settle schemes of</p>
----	---

management for auqaf, and the act provides ample powers for taking over administration, removal of mutawalli or supersession of managing committees where their actions are in contravention of the Board's directions or otherwise prejudicial to the interests of the waqf. The election in question directly offends these statutory provisions. The doctrine analogous to lis pendens further applies, as any act done during the pendency of proceedings and intended to pre-empt their outcome cannot be given recognition in law.

The contention that the committee was compelled to proceed as the term had expired cannot be accepted. If there was urgency, the lawful course was to approach the Board for permission or directions, not to conduct elections unilaterally. The claim that the election was "as per bye-laws" also fails, since bye-laws cannot override the supervisory mandate of the Board under the Waqf Act. Nor can the committee seek shelter under the plea of autonomy, since the present order relates only to secular administration and not to religious practice. The respondents, having participated in the proceedings, are estopped from asserting that the Board had acquiesced. In truth, they suppressed their conduct until after the Returning Officer was appointed, a circumstance that aggravates their position.

For these reasons, the election claimed to have been held on 27.09.2024 is declared invalid and will not be recognized by the Board. Any resolutions or decisions taken on the basis of that process will stand void, though the existing body may continue only for the limited purpose of carrying out day-to-day affairs necessary for religious services and routine administration until a duly elected committee assumes charge. To restore lawful management, the earlier appointed Returning Officer, Advocate Nasir Hussain, is hereby re-appointed to conduct fresh elections strictly in accordance with the registered bye-laws and the directions of this Board. The election shall be completed within two months of receipt of this order, after following all procedural formalities including preparation of electoral rolls, publication of a schedule, scrutiny of nominations, and conduct of polling in a fair and transparent manner. The Divisional Waqf Officer, Thiruvananthapuram, shall provide all assistance, including requisitioning police protection if required.

The Returning Officer shall be paid an initial batta of ₹ 15,000 within fifteen days by the Jama-ath committee, may fix a nomination fee not exceeding ₹ 2,000 per candidate, and shall meet the remaining expenses from Jama-ath funds. Proper accounts must be maintained and submitted along with the election report. Pending fresh elections, the current committee shall strictly limit itself to day-to-day affairs. They shall not enter into long-term contracts, divert funds, or make any major administrative decisions. Any

	<p>non-cooperation with the Returning Officer or Divisional Waqf Officer will invite immediate actions under the provisions of UMEED Act, 2025, including removal or supersession of the committee and appointment of an Administrator.</p> <p>In addition, in order to safeguard the financial integrity of the institution, an audit of the accounts of the Jama-ath for the preceding three financial years shall be undertaken. The Divisional Waqf Officer shall appoint an empaneled auditor to conduct the audit, call for explanations or objections, and issue necessary directions for curing defects. Where amounts are found recoverable, the matter shall be placed before the Board on the administrative side for recovery action. All relevant books, ledgers, vouchers, minutes, and bank statements shall be handed over by the existing office bearers to the auditor as and when he demands.</p> <p>In conclusion, the conduct of the respondents in proceeding with an election during the pendency of proceedings before this Board was deliberate, unauthorized, and intended to defeat statutory supervision. This Board cannot permit such conduct to prejudice the lawful administration of the waqf. Accordingly, the election dated 27.09.2024 is set aside as invalid, and a fresh election under the supervision of the Returning Officer is ordered, with the above financial, administrative, and audit safeguards.</p>
2.	<p>EP No. (A9) 3136/2024/TVM Nannathukav Pothencode Muslim Jama-ath, Thiruvananthapuram</p> <p>The present Enquiry Proceedings arises from the claim of Adv. M. Ziad, Returning Officer appointed by the Board on 28.02.2024 to conduct the Jama-ath Committee election, seeking additional batta. It is reported that the Jama-ath initially paid 25,000/- towards batta, and thereafter advanced a total of 1,35,272/- towards election expenses. After defraying the actual expenses, a balance sum of 6,278/- remains in the Returning Officer's hands. The Returning Officer now claims 50,000/- as additional batta for his services. The Secretary of the Jama-ath has sought return of the unutilized amount, referring to a prior instance where only 14,000/- had been claimed as batta by a previous Returning Officer. Despite due notice, the Jama-ath Committee has not appeared to contest or clarify the matter.</p> <p>On consideration, the Board finds that the election was conducted under Board supervision and that the Returning Officer was</p>

	<p>entrusted with significant responsibility. Having regard to the nature of duties performed, the scale of expenditure, and in order to ensure parity and fairness, this Board holds that the claim for additional batta is justified. Accordingly, the Jama-ath Committee is directed to pay a further sum of 50,000/- (Rupees Fifty Thousand only) to Adv. M. Ziad, Returning Officer, within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of this order, after adjusting the balance amount in his hands. Compliance shall be reported before this Board without fail.</p> <p>Failure to comply with this direction will entail initiation of prosecution proceedings under the provisions of the UMEED Act against the responsible office-bearers of the Jama-ath. Post the matter for compliance report on 29.10.2025.</p>
3.	<p>OP No. 166/2015 Randarkkara Himayathul Muslimeen Yatheenkhana, Ernakulam</p> <p>The petitioners had originally filed an application before this Board under Section 36 of the Waqf Act, 1995, seeking registration of <i>HM Trust, Randarkara</i> as a waqf property and for entering the trust properties in the Waqf Register maintained by the Board. The matter has been pending consideration. During the pendency, the two of the petitioners had filed a memo stating that they do not wish to press the application. It is submitted in the memo that upon further inquiry and scrutiny, the petitioners are fully convinced that HM Trust and its properties are not waqf in character and do not come within the purview of the Waqf Act. They clarify that the original petition was filed under a mistaken impression that the trust properties fell within the category of waqf property, and that such belief has since been corrected. The petitioners therefore seek permission to withdraw their application.</p> <p>The learned counsel for the petitioners further submitted that the other petitioners, who had not signed the present withdrawal memo, are no more, and hence there is no impediment to record withdrawal of the proceedings. Since the present application is not pressed and the petitioners have categorically stated that they do not wish to proceed further, nothing survives for adjudication in this matter.</p> <p>Accordingly, the petition stands closed as not pressed. The application under Section 36 of the Waqf Act, 1995 for registration of HM Trust, Randarkara as a waqf property is therefore dismissed as withdrawn, without entering into the merits of the case.</p>

4.	<p>OP No. 104/2022 Pathiyasserry Muhiyudeen Juma Masjid, Thrissur</p> <p>The petitioner had originally filed the present petition raising several reliefs, but subsequently amended the petition to confine relief to prayer “C,” namely, for directing a re-audit of the Jama-ath accounts for the period 01.01.2018 to 30.11.2021. The core allegation was that the accounts for the relevant period were fabricated and not properly maintained.</p> <p>During the enquiry, the petitioner, despite opportunity, did not step into the witness box to substantiate the allegations and chose not to adduce oral testimony. The petitioner also did not produce any witnesses, such as the auditor or other competent persons, to support the allegations of irregularities. Reliance was placed solely on the documents filed along with the petition, without any attempt to prove the same through admissible evidence. The respondents, on their part, also did not produce oral testimony.</p> <p>It is a settled principle, as reiterated by the Hon’ble Supreme Court, that where a party abstains from entering the witness box, an adverse inference may be drawn that the case pleaded by such party is not correct. Further, pleadings by themselves do not constitute proof, and mere filing of documents, without proving them by admissible evidence and subjecting them to cross-examination, is insufficient to discharge the burden of proof when the allegations are disputed.</p> <p>In the present case, the allegations of fabrication of accounts are serious in nature but remain wholly unsubstantiated. The petitioner, having failed to step into the witness box or produce supporting witnesses, has not discharged the burden of proof. In these circumstances, the Board, acting in its quasi-judicial capacity, is constrained to treat the allegations as unproved and to draw an adverse inference against the petitioner.</p> <p>Accordingly, the petition, to the extent it seeks relief of re-audit based on unsubstantiated allegations, is found to be devoid of merit and is hereby dismissed.</p>
5.	<p>EP No. 7303/2023 Ookkath Jama-ath Pally, Malappuram</p> <p>This matter arises from a complaint originally filed by Sri. K.P. Aboobacker (1st party) against the managing committee of the Jama-ath, a registered waqf under the supervision of the Board. The complaint alleged unauthorized construction in the waqf premises, cutting and selling of trees without sanction, and collection of</p>

monthly subscription amounts under the guise of "donations." The managing committee (2nd party) entered appearance and filed a counter. They admitted that certain construction activities, including erection of pillars for mosque renovation, were undertaken without obtaining prior sanction of the Board, attributing this lapse to ignorance of statutory requirements. It is stated that, upon receipt of the complaint, an application was subsequently submitted to the Divisional Waqf Officer, Malappuram, seeking approval for the construction. With regard to tree cutting, the committee contended that only waste trees were removed based on requests from residents and worshippers, and the sale proceeds were remitted to the Board. They further explained that monthly collections from members were issued under the heading of "donations," though in effect such collections constituted recurring subscriptions.

On examination, this Board notes that any construction on waqf property without prior sanction is in violation of the provisions of the Waqf Act and the Rules framed thereunder. The plea of ignorance does not cure the illegality; however, subsequent applications for approval may be considered on merits after verification. With respect to income from tree sales, the remittance of proceeds to the Board is noted, but it requires confirmation through verification of accounts. Regarding monthly collections, the practice of issuing receipts under the head of "donation" is not acceptable when such amounts are in fact recurring subscriptions. Under Section 72 of the Waqf Act, voluntary donations are exempt from the 7% contribution payable to the Board, whereas monthly income and subscriptions are not. Misclassification of recurring income as donations amounts to misreporting, creates the risk of evasion of statutory contribution, and constitutes mismanagement of waqf funds.

It is further noted that the original complainant is no more, and no successor has come forward to pursue the matter. Nevertheless, the nature of the allegations and the admissions made by the committee warrant an independent fact-finding by the Board to safeguard the

	<p>interest of the waqf.</p> <p>Accordingly, this Board directs the Divisional Waqf Officer, Malappuram, to conduct a comprehensive enquiry into the affairs of the Jama-ath and submit a detailed report to the Board within 2 months. The enquiry shall cover: (i) the present status of the construction activities, including details of works that have been undertaken without sanction; (ii) whether there are any additional violations of the Act, Rules, or Board directions; (iii) whether the proceeds from the sale of trees and other such items have been properly accounted for and reflected in the waqrs records; (iv) whether recurring collections have been correctly reported as income instead of being misclassified as donations; and (v) the overall administration, income, and financial management of the waqf. The report shall be a speaking one, with specific findings and recommendations, so that this Board may determine whether further action is warranted.</p>
6.	<p>OP No. 52/2023 Pazhayalakkidi Hidayathul Islam Mahallu Jama-ath, Palakkad</p> <p>Order passed vide separate sheet in IA No. 438/2024. Posted to 15.10.2025</p> <p>The applicant, 4th respondent in the O.P., seeks to restrain the Returning Officer and caretaker committee from conducting a membership campaign on the ground that under Clause 20 of the registered bye-laws, only a duly elected Working Committee is competent to admit members, and that a caretaker body cannot enlarge the General Body prior to elections. The respondents submit that inviting applications is essential to ensure fairness and participation of eligible persons, and that all steps are under the Returning Officer's supervision.</p> <p>In usual practice the administration must conform to the bye-laws. A caretaker committee is confined to day-to-day affairs and cannot exercise substantive powers such as admitting new members. At the same time, a blanket prohibition on membership intake would unfairly exclude eligible persons from participation. The Returning Officer's role is procedural; he cannot by himself alter the membership base unless authorised.</p>

	<p>To reconcile these considerations, the Board, invoking its powers under Section 32(2), temporarily assumes the function of approving membership applications and delegates the same to the Returning Officer. The Returning Officer or his authorized representative alone shall receive application and the Returning Officer shall scrutinize, and decide applications strictly in accordance with the bye-laws, in a transparent manner, maintaining full records and publishing provisional and final lists after hearing objections. The caretaker committee is restrained from admitting members but shall extend full cooperation to the Returning Officer and act strictly as per his directions. The voters' list shall consist of members as on the date of expiry of the last committee's term, together with those newly admitted under this process.</p> <p>This delegation shall operate only until the installation of a duly elected Committee, after which the ordinary procedure under Clause 20 will revive. With these directions, the I.A. is disposed of.</p>
7.	<p>EP No. 4645/CR Putharippadam Mayyathankara Jama-ath, Palakkad</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. These proceedings arise from the common judgment of the Hon'ble High Court of Kerala in CRP No. 499/2006 and CRP No. 644/2010, whereby the the Board was directed to frame a scheme of administration for <i>Putharippadam Mayyathankara Jama-ath</i> on the basis of the order dated 09/04/1977. The Hon'ble High Court as well as the Waqf Tribunal in subsequent litigations have further clarified that while framing the scheme, this Board is competent to make suitable modifications, if warranted, after considering objections of the beneficiaries or parties, to ensure better administration of the waqf. 2. In compliance with the above directions, this Board, in its meeting held on 09.10.2024, resolved that the earlier draft scheme published on 14.08.1995 required modification, both on the basis of the Board's findings as well as the passage of time, and therefore decided to frame a fresh scheme. The Jama-ath Committee presently administering <i>Putharippadam Mayyathankara Jama-ath</i> was accordingly directed to submit a draft scheme incorporating all relevant provisions. 3. Pursuant to the said direction, a draft scheme was approved published calling for objections and suggestions from interested persons and beneficiaries. It is noted from the proceedings sheet of the case that despite several opportunities from 15.01.2025 onwards, no written objections were submitted by any of the parties other than the

	<p>Putharippadam Jama-ath Committee. The learned counsel appearing for the said respondents also made an oral submission before the Board that they have no objection to the draft scheme. This statement was recorded in the proceedings sheet.</p> <p>4. As no objections have been received and the statutory procedure prescribed under Section 69 has been scrupulously followed, this Board is satisfied that the draft scheme, as submitted by the Jama-ath Committee and published by the Board, represents a fair and workable arrangement for the administration of the waqf, and ensures its better management in terms of the Act. Accordingly, this Board, in exercise of powers conferred under Section 69 of the UMEED Act, 2025, hereby finalises and sanctions the Scheme of Administration of Putharippadam Mayyathankara Jama-ath as published. The Scheme shall come into force with immediate effect, and elections to the Managing Committee and administration of the waqf shall be conducted strictly in accordance with its provisions.</p> <p>5. The present committee of the Jama-ath shall continue until the new committee is elected under the Scheme, and shall forthwith initiate steps for convening elections in accordance with the bye-law provisions incorporated in the Scheme. A certified copy of the finalised Scheme shall be kept in the records of the Board, another shall be furnished to the Jama-ath Committee, and the Scheme shall also be published as per section 69(3) of the UMEED Act, 2025.</p>
8.	<p>OP No. 14/2016 Saithoona Islamic Trust, Thrissur</p> <p>The matter arises from a petition filed in 2016 by seven petitioners alleging mismanagement in Waqf Reg. No. 7292/RA, wherein Mohammad Sajid is recorded as the Muthavalli. By order dated 19.10.2019, this Board dismissed the petition, holding that a scheme could not be framed as a bye-law already existed. The Tribunal in Waqf A.S. No. 9/2019 set aside the order and remanded the matter.</p> <p>However, in CRP (Wage No. 12/2021, the Hon'ble High Court of Kerala held that, with the withdrawal of all but one of the original petitioners, the substratum of the petition eroded and no valid application survived. The High Court set aside the Tribunal's remand order and categorically held that no independent proceedings could be maintained.</p> <p>In light of the said judgment, there is no legal basis to proceed further. The file is accordingly closed, recording that no valid application for framing a scheme exists.</p>
9.	<p>OP No. 112/2019 Chettiyangadi Hanafy Sunnath Jama-ath, Thrissur</p>

	<p>The Original Petition under Sections 32, 38, 69, 70, and 71 of the Waqf Act, 1995 was filed seeking various reliefs including conduct of election to the Jama-ath Committee. During the proceedings, the petitioner submitted on 06.11.2024 that he had no objection to the conduct of election as per the existing bye-law, subject to verification of the voters' list with Aadhaar Card and Voter ID to ensure inclusion of only original residents of the Jama-ath. Pursuant to this, Adv. Sajal Ibrahim was appointed as Returning Officer.</p> <p>The Returning Officer has since reported that the election has been duly completed in accordance with the directions of the Board and further stated that there is no claim for additional batta. The election process being thus concluded, nothing further survives for consideration in respect of the election prayer in the OP.</p> <p>Accordingly, the election prayer in this OP is recorded as satisfied and stands closed. Other prayers, if any, shall be dealt with separately as per law. The file is closed to the above extent.</p>
10.	<p>OP No. 252/2024 Karattuppaly Muslim Jama-ath, Ernakulam</p> <p>The Interim Application filed for impleadment of the applicants as additional respondents is considered. The applicants contend that they are members of the Jamaath and that their presence is necessary to rebut the allegations made in the main OP. This contention cannot be accepted. The present OP is filed for the limited purpose of framing a scheme for the administration of the Jamaath in compliance with the decree passed by the Waqf Tribunal in O.S. No.14/2004. The framing of a scheme is a statutory function vested in the Board under Section 69 of the Waqf Act, 1995, and does not depend upon the rival versions of individuals. The Board is empowered to decide, based on the records and documents produced, whether a scheme is required, and if so, to prepare and publish a draft. At that stage, objections from any member, including the present applicants, can very well be considered under the procedure prescribed by the Act. Thus, the impleading applicants cannot be said to be either necessary or proper parties under Order I Rule 10(2) CPC. Their presence is not essential for adjudication of the present OP, and their impleadment at this stage would only complicate and delay the process directed</p>

	by the Tribunal. Accordingly, the application is found to be devoid of merit and stands dismissed.Posted to 15.10.2025
11.	OP No. 46/2021 Kochangadi Jama-ath Pally @ Chembitta Pally, Ernakulam Call on 15.10.2025
12.	EP No. 6242/2018/TVM Chinnakkada Muslim Jama-ath, Kollam Suo motto reopened. For further hearing. Posted to 15.10.2025
13.	OP No. 202/2024 Thekkanaryanad Mahallu and Munavvirul Islam Sangham, Alappuzha Order passed vide separate sheet in IA No.Posted to 15.10.2025 The petitioner has filed I.A. No. 203/2025 seeking to extend the audit of the 1st respondent Jama-ath's accounts to cover the period from April 2023 to 31.07.2023, contending that such audit is necessary to complete the tenure of the outgoing committee. The respondents, on the other hand, have filed I.A. No. 34/2025 seeking audit of the accounts for the period 2014 to 2017. This Board has already, by order in I.A. No. 330/2024, directed audit of the accounts of the 1st respondent for the period. April 2021 to 31.03.2023. That order substantially covers the petitioner's grievance, and the scope of the audit directed therein is sufficient to address the issues raised in the O.P. In this view, the attempt by the petitioner to further extend the audit for April to July 2023 is found unnecessary, particularly in the absence of any specific allegation of irregularity for that short period. Once the earlier order is implemented, nothing further survives for consideration, and hence I.A. No. 203/2025 cannot be sustained. As regards I.A. No. 34/2025 filed by the respondents, the prayer to reopen accounts for the period 2014 to 2017 is found to be highly belated and wholly lacking in merit. No explanation has been offered for the inordinate delay, nor has any specific allegation of mismanagement or irregularity been pleaded. The application is plainly a counterblast to obstruct the pending proceedings. Ordering an audit nearly eight years later, in the absence of any

	<p>prima facie material, would be unjustified and contrary to settled principles.</p> <p>Accordingly, this Board holds that both I.A. No. 203/2025 and I.A. No. 34/2025 are devoid of merit, belated, and unsustainable. The earlier order in I.A. No. 330/2024 sufficiently addresses the grievance of the petitioner, and nothing further remains to be adjudicated in this regard. Both applications are therefore dismissed.</p>
14.	EP No. 867/2016 Aluva Muslim Jama-ath, Ernakulam Proof affidavit. No representation by parties No. 3,10,11. Put up the audit report and explanation before the administrative side. Posted to 14.10.2025
15.	OP No. 106/2017 Amaravathy Muslim Jama-ath, Ernakulam With connected OP No. 34/2023. Posted to 15.10.2025
16.	OP No. 60/2017 Haji Usman Haji Allarakhiya and Ayoob Haji Abdul Rahiman Trust, Ernakulam Petitioner is no more as represented by the counsel. For orders. Meanwhile file argument notes if any. Posted to 15.10.2025
17.	OP No. 48/2018 Pavaratty Town Juma Masjid, Thrissur Counter in IA No. 401/2025, 402/2025, 403/2025 filed. For hearing. Posted to 15.10.2025
18.	OP No. 136/2019 Ettumanoor Athirampuzha Muslim Jama-ath, Kottayam For commission report. Posted to 15.10.2025
19.	OP No. 66/2020 Ilfathul Islam Sangham (Ponnurunni Muslim Jama-ath), Ernakulam For chief affidavit by R5. Posted to 15.10.2025
20.	OP No. 50/2020 Ilfathul Islam Sangham (Ponnurunni Muslim Jama-ath), Ernakulam No chief affidavit filed so far. Heard both sides. For orders. Posted to 15/10/2025
21.	OP No. 16/2020 Kuriyathole Muslim Jama-ath, Ernakulam For commission report. Posted to 15/10/2025
22.	OP No. 96/2022 Vadanappally North Mahallu Muslim Jama-ath Sangham, Thrissur

	Returning officer filed interim report. For orders. Posted to 15.10.2025
23.	EP No. A8-3101/2022 Kayaradi Mahallu Jama-ath, Palakkad For commission report. Put up the audit report. Posted to 15.10.2025
24.	OP No. 188/2022 Kakkatteri Juma Masjid, Palakkad Issue intimation to the auditor to complete the auditing within 3 months and produce receipt of audit fee. Call on 15/10/2025
25.	OP No. 98/2022 Paimattom Muslim Jama-ath, Ernakulam No oral evidence for the respondent. Heard. For orders. Posted to 15.10.2025
26.	OP No. 34/2022 Kochi Thaykkavu Pally, Ernakulam For commission report. Posted to 15.10.2025
27.	OP No. 62/2022 Amayoor Muslim Jama-ath, Ernakulam Issue notice to the Retunring officer. Posted to 20.08.2025
28.	OP No. 136/2022 Marampally Muslim Jama-ath, Ernakulam Call on I.A.No.413/2024. Issue notice to auditor to submit report on or before 15/10/2025
29.	EP No. A9-1150/2021/KTM Vachakkal Valiya Veettil Muhiyudeen Pally Makham, Idukky For statement of parties 2 and 3. Posted to 15.10.2025
30.	EP No. 7539/2022 Vaduthala Kottoor Kattupuram Jama-ath, Alappuzha Produce Tribunal Order. Posted to 15.10.2025
31.	OP No. 154/2023 Cheruthuruthy Juma Masjid and Madrassa Committee, Thrissur For mediation. Posted to 16.09.2025
32.	OP No. 200/2023 Broadway Hanafy Jama-ath Masjid, Ernakulam For Chief Affidavit of the petitioner. 15/10/2025
33.	OP No. 218/2023 Muhiyudeen Juma Masjid, Ernakulam No affidavit filed by the petitioner. No representation. Name called. OP dismissed.
34.	EP No. 18/2023 Manhajul Islam Madrassa, Alappzha For statement. 15/10/2025
35.	OP No. 32/2023 Thottumugham Padinjare Pally, Ernakulam Commission report filed. PW1 examined. Exhibits A2 to A11 marked A10 objected. For further evidence of the petitioner. For

	commission report. 15/10/2025
36.	OP No. 168/2023 Poovathur Juma Muhiyudheen Masjid, Ernakulam For proof affidavit of the petitioner. 15/10/2025
37.	OP No. 150/2023 Puthenchira Padinjare Muslim Jama-ath, Thrissur For commission report. Posted to 15.10.2025
38.	OP No. 222/2023 Ettumanoor Athirampuzha Muslim Jama-ath, Kottayam Exapрте affidavit filed by the petitioner. I.A.No.302/2025 & I.A.No.303/2025. Heard. Allowed on payment of cost of Rs.2000/- For payment of cost and counter. 15/10/2025
39.	OP No. 34/2023 Amaravathi Muslim Jama-ath, Ernakulam Returning Officer is directed to complete the election as per the bye law. Already voters list produced by the respondent. Issue notice to RO. For report 15/10/2025
40.	OP No. 256/2023 Ponnad Mahallu Muslim Jama-ath Perunthuruth Hidayathul Islam Sangham, Alappuzha Interim report filed to have clarification on the point of eligibility of voting. For counter in IA No. 304/2025. The petitioner is directed to produce order of the court.
41.	OP No. 114/2023 Pengattusserry Muslim Jama-ath, Ernakulam For commission report. Posted to 15.10.2025
42.	OP No. 230/2023 Pengattusserry Muslim Jama-ath, Ernakulam For Commission report 15/10/2025
43.	OP No. 136/2024 Masjidul Ijaba Muslim Jama-ath, Alappuzha I.A.Nos. 198/2025, 199/2025 & 216/2025 posted for order. Meanwhile file argument notes if any. 15/10/2025
44.	OP No. 138/2024 Masjidul Hidayah Muslim Jama-ath, Alappuzha Posted for order. Meanwhile both sides shall file argument notes if any. 15/10/2025
45.	OP No. 244/2024 Kaduvinal Muslim Jama-ath, Alappuzha IA No. 395/2025 not pressed. Counter filed in IA No. 396/2025. For hearing. With connected OP. Posted to 17.09.2025
46.	EP No. A9-8163/2024 Rifayee Juma Masjid, Kollam IA No. 305/2025 copy given. For counter. Posted to 15.10.2025

47.	EP No. A9-9135/2024 Oomankulam Masjid Ahammed Namaskkara Pally, Thrissur Adv. Rafeek filed vakkalath for parties 2 and 3. Adv. Shiras for party No. 1. Party No. 4 appeared in person. Party No. 5 appeared in person. Party No. 6 offered vakkalath by Adv. Abdul Khader. Party No .7 appeared in Person. For counter and steps. Posted to 15.10.2025
48.	OP No. 96/2024 Kaithakkad Muslim Jama-ath, Ernakulam For report of Returning Officer posted to 15/10/2025
49.	OP No. 78/2024 Thayikkattukara Muslim Jama-ath, Ernakulam I.A.Nos 91/2024, 92/2024, 319/2024 Argument notes filed by the petitioner. For argument notes of repondents 17/09/2025
50.	OP No. 134/2024 Masjidul Ijaba Muslim Jama-ath, Alappuzha Posted for orders. In the meanwhile file argument notes if any. Posted to 15.10.2025
51.	OP No. 148/2024 Masjidul Hidayah Muslim Jama-ath, Alappuzha Posted for orders. In the meanwhile file argument notes if any. Posted to 15.10.2025
52.	OP No. 150/2024 Karattupally Muslim Jama-ath, Ernakulam For counter 15/10/2025
53.	OP No. 170/2024 Kayamkulam Muslim Jama-ath, Alappuzha For affidavit of the petitioner. Posted to 15/10/2025
54.	OP No. 188/2024 Alapanangad Sahib Juma Masjid, Thrissur Counter of R1 filed. For steps 15/10/2025
55.	OP No. 206/2024 Pengattusserry Muslim Jama-ath, Ernakulam For counter in IA No. 333/2024. Posted to 15.10.2025
56.	OP No. 208/2024 Vellikulangara Muhiyudeen Town Juma Masjid, Thrissur Serve Sufficient pages of counter 15/10/2025
57.	OP No. 92/2024 Kalvathy Muslim Jama-ath, Ernakulam Counsel for the petitioner reports no instruction. No batta paid to the commissioner. Issue notice to the petitioner for appearance. Posted to 15.10.2025
58.	OP No. 256/2024 Ettumanoor Athirampuzha Muslim Jama-ath, Kottayam For counter finally. Posted to 15.10.2025
59.	OP No. 132/2024 Kayaradi Muslim Jama-ath, Palakkad

	Petitioner counsel endorsed that they are not pressing the petition. On the basis of the said submission the OP is dismissed as not pressed.
60.	OP No. 162/2025 Vaduthala Jama-ath Educational Trust, Alappuzha R1 and R2 appeared in person. R3 reports that R3 had already engaged a lawyer. For counter. 15/10/2025
61.	OP No. 168/2025 Kollankode Juma-ath Pally, Palakkad Adv.Rafeek filed vakalath for all respondents. For counter in OP posted to 15/10/2025
62.	OP No. 170/2025 Eloor Mahallu Jama-ath, Ernakulam Adv.Rafeek filed vakalath for R1 to R3. Await notice to R4. For counter in I.A. & OP. Posted to 15/10/2025
63.	OP No. 172/2025 Pengattusserry Muslim Jama-ath, Ernakulam I.A.No.223/2025. Adv.Sajitha filed Vakalath for R1 to R3. For counter in I.A. and OP posted to 15/10/2025
64.	IA No. 226/2025 in OP No. 34/2021 Alappuzha Thekke Mahallu Jama-ath, Alappuzha Cost paid. OP restored. For steps. Posted to 15.10.2025
65.	OP No. 42/2025 Kaipparamb Jama-ath Committee, Thrissur For mediation. Posted to 17.09.2025
66.	OP No. 112/2025 Pallikkara Muslim Jama-ath, Ernakulam I.A.No.216/2025. For counter 15/10/2025
67.	OP No. 124/2025 Issathul Islam Sangham, Palakkad for conter in IA No. 201/2025, 202/2025. Posted to 17.09.2025
68.	IA No. 148/2025 in IA No. 241/2024 in OP No. 116/2023 Masjidunnoor Muslim Jama-ath, Idukky No copy served. Serve copy to the petitioners counsel. Posted to 15.10.2025
69.	OP No. 126/2025 Shariyathul Islam Jama-ath Puthen Theruvu, Kollam Counter filed in OP. For counter in I.A.s 140/2025, 03/2025, 142/2025. Posted to 15/10/2025.
70.	OP No. 128/2025 Konthalappally Juma Masjid, Idukky For counter of R1 and R2. No take steps against R3 and R4. For steps. Posted to 15.10.2025
71.	OP No. 108/2025 Akalad Puthen Pally Mahalu Jama-ath

	Committee, Thrissur For Report of Returning Officer. The RO is directed to publish voters list within 3 months. Posted to 15/10/2025
72.	OP No. 74/2025 (Wos No. 22/2024) Masjidu Rahman Jama-ath Committee, Thrissur For steps. Posted to 15.10.2025
73.	OP No. 84/2025 (WOS No. 34/2025) Jamiyath Ihyudeen Waqf, Ernakulam Call on 15.10.2025
74.	OP No. 68/2025 Kottol Mahallu Muslim Jam-ath, Thrissur Cost paid. For counter. Posted to 15.10.2025
75.	OP No. 26/2025 Kuzhikkattumoola Mahallu Muslim Jama-ath, Ernakulam Counter in OP filed. I.A.No.215/2025&214No counter allowed . I.A.No.50/2025 15/10/2025
76.	OP No. 158/2025 Erumeli Mahalla Muslim Jama-ath, Kottayam Adv. E. S. M. Kabeer for respondents. Posted to 15.10.2025
77.	OP No. 186/2025 (WOS No. 26/2024) Harippad Town Jama-ath Pally, Alappuzha Petitioner represented. Await A/d. of the respondents posted to 15/10/2025
78.	OP No. 188/2025 (WOS No. 65/2024) Darussalam Charitable and Religious Trust, Thrissur Adv.Narayanan Namboothiri for the petitioner. Adv.Rafeek for R1 to R3, 5 & 6. Await A/d. of R4. 15/10/2025
79.	OP No. 60/2022 Adipparanda Juma Masjid, Palakkad Cost paid. Affidavit filed. For commission report. Posted to 15.10.2025
80.	OP No. 152/2022 Cheruthuruthy Juma Masjid and Madrassa Committee, Thrissur Respondents present. Petitioners absent. For further mediation. Posted to 16.09.2025
81.	OP No. 246/2024 Cheraman Juma Masjid, Thrissur Counter filed. For steps. Posted to 15.10.2025
82.	OP No. 110/2023 Kilikolloor Valiya Pally Muslim Jama-ath, Kollam

	Call on 21.08.2025
83.	OP No. 66/2025 Nellikuzhy Nellikunnath Muhiyudheen Pally, Ernakulam Counter filed in IA No. 260/2025. IA No. 76/2025 audit. For orders meanwhile parties are directed to submit argument notes. Posted to 17.09.2025
84.	OP No. 60/2023 Pallikkara Muslim Jama-ath, Ernakulam Argument notes filed by the petitioner. For orders. In the meanwhile the respondents shall file argument notes 15/10/2025
85.	OP No. 130/2025 Mahalla Muslim Jama-ath, Kottayam For counter. Posted to 21.08.2025 at TVM
86.	OP No. 148/2025 Punnappadam Kakkod Puthen Pally, Palakkad Counter of R 1 and R2 filed in I.A.NO.167/2025 & I.A.No.266/2025. For Counter in 312/2025. No seperate counter for R3 to R5. For orders in I.A.NO.167/2025 & I.A.No.266/2025 15/10/2025
87.	OP No. 98/2024 Kaitharam Muslim Jama-ath, Ernakulam For commission report. Posted to 17.09.2025
88.	OP No. 116/2021 Kaitharam Muslim Jama-ath, Ernakulam For commission report. Posted to 17.09.2025
89.	OP No. 198/2024 Vadakkekkad Muslim Jama-ath, Thrissur Counter filed in IA No. 324/2025 and IA No. 26/2025 and for hearing. Posted to 15.10.2025
90.	EP No. A3 9980/CR Muhammed Siddique Sait Waqf, Ernakulam Party No. 1 Adv. Anas. Adv. Narayanan for Party No. 3. Petitioner appeared in person. For counter. Posted to 15.10.2025
91.	OP No. 204/2025 Chaliyath Muslim Jama-ath, Kollam Issue notice to the respondents. Posted to 10.09.2025
92.	OP No. 214/2025 Palluruthy Muslim Jama-ath Muhammed Pally Mahallu, Ernakulam Issue notice to the respondents. Posted to 15.10.2025 IA No. 293/2025 Divisional Waqf Officer, Ernakulam is directed to appoint an empanelled auditor for auditing the accounts of the Jama-ath for the period of 2021-2022 to 2024-2025 and complete all procedure in connection with it. The Divisional Waqf Officer shall call for objection and give necessary direction for curing the defects

	pointed out in the audit report. If any amount is to be recovered as certified by the auditor matter shall be palced before the Board through administrative side.
93.	<p>OP No. 216/2025 Manapalli Town Masjid, Kollam</p> <p>Issue notice to the respondents. Posted to 29.10.2025</p> <p>IA No. 308/2025</p> <p>Divisional Waqf officer, Thiruvananthapuram is directed to depute an emapanlled auditor for auditing the accouts of the waqf for the period of 2022 to 2025 including the accounts of the reconstruction of Manappally town Masjid Milade Shereef during the period of 2022 to 2025 and complete all audit process connected with it including calling for objections giving direction for curing defects etc. If any amount is to be recovered as certified by the auditor matter shall be placed before the Board through administrative side.</p>
94.	<p>OP No. 218/2025 Farooq Juma Masjid, Thrissur</p> <p>Issue notice to the respondents. Posted to 15.10.2025</p> <p>IA No. 309/2025</p> <p>Divisonal Waqf Officer, Thrissur is directed to appoint an auditor for auditing the accounts of the Jama-ath for the period of 2020-2021 to 2024-2025 and shall complete all process in conncection with same including calling for objections, giving directions for curing defects pointed out in the audit report. If any amount is to be recovered as certified by the auditor matter shall be placed befero the Board through administrative side.</p>
95.	<p>OP No. 220/2025 Hidayathul Islam Sangham Mahal, Palakkad</p> <p>Issue notice to the respondents. Posted to 15.10.2025</p> <p>IA No. 314/2025</p> <p>Heard. The petitioner had made out a prima facie case. Respondents are restrained from conducting election to the executive committee of the 1st respondent for the period of 2025-27 without obtaining prior permission from the Board.</p>
96.	<p>OP No. 222/2025 Poovar Muslim Jama-ath, Thiruvananthapuram</p> <p>Issue notice to the respondents. Posted to 29.10.2025</p> <p>IA No. 287/2025</p> <p>Heard. The petitioner had made out a prima faice case. All further proceedings with respect to the notices issued with reference nos. 678/25, 679/20258 and 677/2025 dated 03.07.2025 issued by the</p>

	<p>3rd respondent is hereby stayed. Serve the order through special messenger.</p> <p>IA No. 318/2025</p> <p>Divisional Waqf Officer Thiruvananthapuram is directed to appoint an empanelled auditor for auditing the accounts of the Jama-ath for the period of 2019-2020 to 2024-2025 and complete all procedure in connection with the same including calling for objection/explanations and giving necessary directions for curing the defects pointed out by the auditor if any amount is to be recovered as certified by the auditor, matter shall be placed before the Board through administrative side.</p>
97.	<p>OP No. 589/2025 Mahadhanul Uloom Madrassa, Malappuram</p> <p>Issue notice to the respondents. Posted to 09.09.2025</p>
98.	<p>OP No. 591/2025 Ansarul Islam Madrassa and Masjid Committee, Malappuram</p> <p>Issue notice to the Respondents. Posted to 07.10.2025</p> <p>IA No. 316/2025</p> <p>Divisional Waqf Officer, Malappuram is directed to depute an empanelled auditor for auditing the accounts of the Jama-ath for the period of 2022-2025 and complete all process connected with it including calling for records objections, giving, directing for curing defects etc. If any amount is to be recovered as certified by auditor matter shall be placed before the Board through administrative side.</p>
99.	<p>OP No. 593/2025 Mavilery Muslim Jama-ath Committee, Kannur</p> <p>Issue notice to the respondents. Posted to 20.09.2025</p> <p>IA No. 319/2025</p> <p>Heard. The petitioner had made out a prima facie case. Adv. Aslam paroth, Kannur is appointed as Advocate Commissioner to take an inventory by taking photocopies of minutes book and account books of the respondent committee. An amount of Rs. 5000/- shall be paid as batta by the petitioner.</p>
100.	<p>OP No. 595/2025 Kottakkal Muslim Jama-ath, Malappuram</p> <p>Issue notice to the respondents. Posted to 20.08.2025</p>
101.	<p>IA No. 295/2025 in EP No. A9-4933/2022/MLP Chiramangalam Ansarul Muslimeen Sangham, Malappuram</p> <p>Issut notice to the respondents. Posted to 09.09.2025</p>
102.	<p>IA No. 291/2025 in OP No. 106/2025 Chinnakkada Muslim Jama-</p>

	ath, Kollam Issue notice to the Respondents. Posted to 21.08.2025
103.	IA No. 294/2025 in OP No. 138/2025 Ilfathul Islam Sangham (Ponnurunni Jama-ath), Ernakulam Posted to 17.09.2025
104.	IA No. 288/2025 in OP No. 166/2025 Kottukad Muslim Jama-ath, Kollam Advanced. Posted to 21.08.2025
105.	IA No. 295/2025 in OP No. 10/2019 Chinnakkada Muslim Jama-ath, Kollam Issue notice to the respondents. Posted to 29.10.2025
106.	IA No. 313/2025 in OP No. 202/2025 Alamcode Muslim Pally Jama-ath, Thiruvananthapuram Advanced. Posted to 21.08.2025 at Thiruvananthapuram
107.	IA No. 316/2025 in OP No. 120/2025 P. M. S. A. Pookkoya Thangal Memorial Yatheemkhana, Palakkad For counter. Posted to 17.09.2025 IA No. 316/2025 in OP No. 120/2025 P. M. S. A. Pookkoya Thangal Memorial Yatheemkhana, Palakkad Posted to 17.09.2025
108.	IA No. 311/2025 in OP No. 206/2025 Pallikkara Muslim Jama-ath, Ernakulam Posted to 17.09.2025
109.	IA No. 298/2025 in OP No. 238/2024 Punnappadam Kakkod Puthen Pally, Palakkad Heard. The petitioner had submitted affidavit that even though respondents received copies of the IA's they refused to endorsed thereof. Adv. Alimuthu claimed as counsel for the respondents was present before the Baord for hearing but he refused to endorse on the copy. Both parties are heard. And the petitioner had made out a prima facie case. The 6 th and 7 th respondents are hereby directed to produce the minutes book of the Jama-ath dated 27.10.2024 at the office of the Board within one month from today. IA No. 299/2025 in OP No. 238/2024 Punnappadam Kakkod Puthen Pally, Palakkad Heard. The petitioner had submitted affidavit that even though

	<p>respondents received copies of the IA's they refused to endorsed thereof. The counsel for the respondents was present before the Baord for hearing but he refused to endorse on the copy.</p> <p>Heard the parties. The Board is convinced that an order as prayed for is necessary. Hence the notice dated 18.07.2025 issued by the 1st respondent is stayed until further orers.</p>
--	--